Analytic Expressions and Bounds for Special Functions and Applications in Communication Theory

Paschalis C. Sofotasios, *Member, IEEE*, Theodoros A. Tsiftsis, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Yury A. Brychkov, Steven Freear, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Mikko Valkama, *Member, IEEE*, and George K. Karagiannidis, *Fellow, IEEE*

arXiv:1403.5326v1 [cs.IT] 21 Mar 2014

Abstract

This work is devoted to the derivation of novel closed-form expressions and bounds for a family of special functions that are particularly useful in wireless communication theory. These functions are the well-known Nuttall $Q-$function, the incomplete Toronto function, the Rice Ie-function and the

This work was supported in part by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC). Part of this paper was presented at IEEE ICWITS '10, IEEE SBMO/IMOC '11, ATNAC '11, IEEE INDICON '11 and ATC '13.

P. C. Sofotasios was with the School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, UK. He is now with the Department of Electronics and Communications Engineering, Tampere University of Technology, 33101 Tampere, Finland and with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece (e-mail: p.sofotasios@ieee.org).

T. A. Tsiftsis is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Technological Educational Institute of Central Greece, 35100 Lamia, Greece and with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece (e-mail: tsiftsis@teilam.gr).

Yu. A. Brychkov is with the Dorodnicyn Computing Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 119333 Moscow, Russia (e-mail: brychkov@ccas.ru).

S. Freear is with the School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, UK (e-mail: s.freear@leeds.ac.uk).

M. Valkama is with the Department of Electronics and Communications Engineering, Tampere University of Technology, FI-33101 Tampere, Finland (e-mail: mikko.e.valkama@tut.fi).

G. K. Karagiannidis is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Khalifa University, PO Box 127788 Abu Dhabi, UAE and with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece (e-mail: geokarag@ieee.org).

incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel integrals. The accuracy and validity of the derived expressions is justified through extensive comparisons with respective numerical results, while their algebraic representation is tractable both analytically and numerically. Based on this, they are considered useful mathematical tools that can be employed in applications relating to the information-theoretic analysis of modern wireless communication systems such as, cognitive radio, cooperative and free-space-optical communications as well as radar, diversity and multi-antenna systems. As an example, new closed-form expressions are presented for the outage probability over i.i.d Hoyt fading channels as well as for the outage probability, the channel capacity for the truncated channel inversion with fixed rate and the corresponding optimum cut-off signal-to-noise ratio for single-and multi-antenna communication systems over Rician fading channels.

Index Terms

Special functions, wireless communication theory, fading channels, emerging wireless technologies, multi-antenna systems, outage probability, truncated channel inversion, performance bounds.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is widely known that special functions constitute invaluable mathematical tools in most fields of natural sciences and engineering. In the broad area of wireless communications, their utilization often allows the derivation of useful expressions for important performance measures such as error probability, channel capacity and higher-order statistics (HOS). The computational realization of such expressions is typically straightforward since most special functions are included as built-in functions in popular mathematical software packages such as MAPLE, MATLAB and MATHEMATICA. Among others, the Marcum $Q-$function, $Q_m(a,b)$, the Nuttall $Q-$function, $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$, the Rice $Ie-$function, $Ie(k,x)$, the incomplete Toronto function (ITF), $T_B(m,n,r)$, and the incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel integrals (ILHIs), $Ze_{m,n}(x;a)$, were proposed several decades ago [1]–[22] and have been largely involved in communication theory and in the analytic performance evaluation of wireless communications systems [23]–[35] - and the references therein.

More specifically, the $Q_m(a,b)$ function was firstly proposed by Marcum in [1], [2] and became widely known in digital communications by applications relating to wireless transmission over fading or non-fading media [5]–[11], [23]. Its basic properties and identities were reported in [9] and several upper and lower bounds were proposed in [10], [36]–[50]. Furthermore, semi-

analytic representations and approximations were given in [51]–[54] while various properties where investigated in [40], [41], [44], [48], [55], [56]. Exact analytic expressions for the special cases that m is a non-negative integer and half-integer were derived in [7] and [44], [56], respectively, whereas general expressions in terms of the confluent Appell function were derived in [55] and also in [57] in the context of deriving closed-form expressions for the bivariate Nakagami$-m$ distribution and the distribution of the minimum eigenvalue of correlated non-central Wishart matrices.

In the same context, the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ function was firstly proposed in [9] and constitutes a generalization of the Marcum $Q-$function. It is defined by a semi-infinite integral representation and it can be expressed in terms of the $Q_m(a,b)$ function and the modified Bessel function of the first kind, $I_n(x)$, for the special case that the sum of its indices is a real odd positive integer i.e. $(m+n+1)/2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Establishment of further properties, monotonicity criteria and the derivation of lower and upper bounds along with a closed-form expression for the case that $m \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$ were reported in [43], [44], [58], [59]. Likewise, the incomplete Toronto function is a special function, which was proposed by Hatley in [12]. It constitutes a generalization of the Toronto function, $T(m,n,r)$, and includes the $Q_m(a,b)$ function as a special case. Its definition is given by a finite integral while alternative representations include two infinite series proposed in [13]. This function has been also useful in wireless communications as it has been employed in applications relating to statistical analysis, radar systems, signal detection and estimation as well as error probability analysis [3], [4], [14].

The Rice $Ie-$function is also a special function of similar analytic representation to the Marcum and Nuttall $Q-$functions. It was firstly proposed by S. O. Rice in [15] and has been applied in investigations relating to zero crossings, angle modulation systems, radar pulse detection and error rate analysis of differentially encoded systems [16]–[18], [60]. It is typically defined by a finite integral while alternative representations include two infinite series which involve the modified Struve function and an expression in terms of the $Q_m(a,b)$ function [17], [18], [60], [61]. Finally, the $Ze_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals constitute a general class of incomplete cylindrical functions that have been encountered in analytic solutions of numerous problems in electromagnetic theory [20], [22]$-$and the references therein. Their general representation is given in a non-infinite integral form and it accounts accordingly for the Bessel function of the first kind, $J_n(x)$, the Bessel function of the second kind, $Y_n(x)$ and their modified counterparts,

$I_n(x)$ and $K_n(x)$, respectively. In the context of wireless communication systems, the ILHIs have been utilized in the error rate analysis of MIMO systems under imperfect channel state information (CSI) employing adaptive modulation, transmit beamforming and maximal ratio combining (MRC), [62].

Nevertheless, in spite of the undoubted importance of the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$, $T_B(m,nr)$, $Ie(k,x)$ functions and $Ze_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals, they are all neither available in tabulated form nor are included as built-in functions in widely used mathematical software packages. As a consequence, their use becomes rather intractable and laborious to handle both algebraically and computationally. Motivated by this, the present work is devoted to the derivation of novel analytic expressions and bounds for the above functions and integrals, which have a convenient algebraic representation and can be useful in applications relating to wireless communications systems.

In more details, the contributions of the present paper are listed below:

- Closed-form expressions and simple polynomial approximations are derived for the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$, $T_B(m,n,r)$, $Ie(k,x)$ functions and the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals[1]. These expressions are valid for all values of the involved parameters and can readily reduce to exact infinite series representations.
- Closed-form upper bounds are derived for the respective truncation errors of the proposed series.
- Simple closed-form expressions are derived for specific cases of the $T_B(m,n,r)$ function and the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals.
- Capitalizing on these expressions, generic closed-form upper and lower bounds are derived for the $T_B(m,n,r)$ function and the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals.
- Simple closed-form upper and lower bounds are proposed for the $Ie(k,x)$ function which under certain range of values become accurate approximations.
- Simple closed-form upper bounds are proposed for the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$, $T_B(m,n,r)$ functions and $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals which for certain range of values can serve as particularly tight approximations.
- Capitalizing on the offered results, closed-form expressions are derived for specific cases of the Kamp$\acute{e}$ de F$\acute{e}$riet (KdF) and Humbert Φ_1 special functions.

[1]This work considers only the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ case i.e. the $I_n(x)$ function-based $Ze_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals.

- The offered results are applied in the context of digital communications for deducing respective analytic expressions for: $i)$ the outage probability (OP) over i.i.d Hoyt fading channels; $ii)$ the OP and the channel capacity for the truncated channel inversion with fixed rate (TIFR) adaptive transmission technique of single-and multi-antenna systems over Rician fading channels; $iii)$ the optimum cut-off SNR for the aforementioned TIFR scenario in the case of single-input single-output (SISO), multiple-input single-output (MISO) and single-input multiple-output (SIMO) systems.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: New expressions for the Nuttall $Q-$function are provided in Sec. II. Sec. III and Sec. IV are devoted to the derivation of closed-form expressions and bounds for the ITF and Rice $Ie-$function, respectively. Analytic results for the ILHIs are derived in Section V while simple expressions for special cases of the KdF and Humbert Φ_1 functions are proposed in Sec. VI. Finally, applications in the context of wireless communications along with the necessary discussions are provided in Section VII while closing remarks are given in Section VIII.

II. New Closed-Form Expressions and Bounds for the Nuttall $Q-$function

A. Definition and Basic Properties

The Nuttall $Q-$function is defined by the following semi-infinite integral representation [9, eq. (86)],

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) \triangleq \int_b^\infty x^m e^{-\frac{x^2+a^2}{2}} I_n(ax)dx \tag{1}$$

which is valid for real values of m, n, a and b and constitutes a generalization of the well-known Marcum $Q-$function. The normalized Nuttall $Q-$function is expressed as $\mathcal{Q}_{m,n}(a,b) = Q_{m,n}(a,b)/a^n$, which becomes $Q_{1,0}(a,b) = \mathcal{Q}_{1,0}(a,b) = Q_1(a,b) = Q(a,b)$ when $m = 1$ and $n = 0$. In addition, for the special case that $n = m-1$ it follows that $\mathcal{Q}_{m,m-1}(a,b) = Q_m(a,b)$ and $Q_{m,m-1}(a,b) = a^{m-1}Q_m(a,b)$. Likewise, when m and n are positive integers, the following recursion formula is valid [11, eq. (3)],

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = b^{m-1}e^{-\frac{a^2+b^2}{2}} I_n(ab) + aQ_{m-1,n+1}(a,b) + (m+n-1)Q_{m-2,n}(a,b) \tag{2}$$

along with a finite series representation in [11, eq. (8)]. Nevertheless, the validity of this series is not general because it is restricted to the special case that $m+n$ is an odd positive integer.

B. A Closed-Form Expression in Terms of the Kampé de Fériet Function

As already mentioned, to the best of the Authors' knowledge no analytic expressions for the Nuttall $Q-$function, that are valid for all values of the involved parameters, have been reported in the open technical literature.

Theorem 1. *For $m, n, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the Nuttall $Q-$function can be expressed as,*

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \frac{a^n \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}, 1+n, \frac{a^2}{2}\right)}{n! e^{\frac{a^2}{2}} 2^{\frac{n-m+1}{2}}} - \frac{a^n b^{m+n+1} F_{1,1}^{1,0}\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{m+n+1}{2}:-,-: \\ \frac{m+n+3}{2}:n+1,-:\end{matrix} \frac{a^2b^2}{4}, -\frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{n!(m+n+1)2^n e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}} \tag{3}$$

where $\Gamma(a)$, ${}_1F_1(a,b,x)$ and $F_{\cdot,\cdot}^{\cdot,\cdot}(\cdot: .,.)$ denote the Gamma function, the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function and the KdF function, respectively [63]–[70].

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix A. ■

C. A Simple Polynomial Representation

In spite of the general usefulness of (3), its presence in integrands along with other elementary and/or special function can lead to intractable integrals due to the lack of simpler representations and properties for the $F_{\cdot,\cdot}^{\cdot,\cdot}(\cdot: .,.)$ function. Therefore, it is evident that a simple approximative formula that is valid for all values of the involved parameters is also useful.

Proposition 1. *For $m, n, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ function can be accurately approximated as follows,*

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{p} \frac{a^{n+2l} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}} \Gamma(p+l) p^{1-2l} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2}, \frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l! \Gamma(n+l+1) 2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}} \Gamma(p-l+1)} \tag{4}$$

which for the special case that $(m+n+1)/2 \in \mathbb{N}$, it can be equivalently expressed as,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{L} \frac{\mathcal{A}\, a^{n+2l} b^{2k} \Gamma(p+l) p^{1-2l} \Gamma(L+l+1)}{l! k! \Gamma(n+l+1) \Gamma(p-l+1) 2^{l+k} e^{-\frac{a^2+b^2}{2}}} \tag{5}$$

where p denotes the corresponding truncation term, $\Gamma(a,x)$ is the upper incomplete Gamma function [61] while,

$$L = \frac{m+n-1}{2} + l \tag{6}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} = a^n 2^{\frac{m-n-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{a^2+b^2}{2}}. \tag{7}$$

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix B. ■

Remark 1. *The coefficients of the series in [71, eq. (19)] differ from the series in [61, eq. (8.445)] by the terms $p^{1-2l}\Gamma(p+l)/(p-l)!$. Therefore, as $p \to \infty$, these terms vanish and as a result* (4) *and* (5) *reduce to the following exact infinite series representations,*

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{n+2l}\, e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}\, \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2}, \frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}}} \tag{8}$$

and

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}+l} \frac{a^{n+2l} b^{2k} 2^{\frac{m-n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{m+n+1}{2}+l)}{l!k!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{l+k} e^{\frac{a^2+b^2}{2}}} \tag{9}$$

respectively to (4) *and* (5)*.*

Remark 2. *By setting $n = m-1$ in* (4) *and recalling that $\mathcal{Q}_{m,n}(a,b) = Q_{m,n}(a,b)/a^n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{m,m-1}(a,b) = Q_m(a,b)$, a new simple approximation is deduced for the Marcum $Q-$function,*

$$Q_m(a,b) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{p} \frac{a^{2l}\, \Gamma(p+l) p^{1-2l}\, \Gamma\left(m+l, \frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!\Gamma(m+l)2^l\, (p-l)! e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}} \tag{10}$$

which for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ it reduces to,

$$Q_m(a,b) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\Gamma(p+l) p^{1-2l} a^{2l} b^{2k}}{l!k!(p-l)!2^{l+k} e^{\frac{a^2+b^2}{2}}}. \tag{11}$$

Based on Remark 1*, as $p \to \infty$, equations* (10) *and* (11) *become exact infinite series.*

D. Truncation Error

The proposed expressions converge rather quickly and their accuracy is proportional to the value of p. However, determining the involved truncation error analytically is particularly advantageous for applications in wireless communications.

Lemma 1. *For $m, n, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following inequality can serve as a closed-form upper bound for the truncation error of the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ function in* (4)*,*

$$\epsilon_t \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}-1} \frac{(-1)^{\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}} \Gamma(2\lceil n \rceil_{0.5} - k - 1) \mathcal{I}^k_{\lceil m \rceil_{0.5}, \lceil n \rceil_{0.5}}(a,b)}{k!\Gamma(\lceil n \rceil_{0.5} - k)(2a)^{-k}\sqrt{\pi} 2^{\lceil n \rceil_{0.5} - \frac{1}{2}} a^{2\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}-1}} - \sum_{l=0}^{p} \frac{p a^{n+2l}\, \Gamma(p+l)\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2}, \frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l! p^{2l}(n+l)! 2^{\frac{n-m+2l-1}{2}}\, (p-l)! e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}} \tag{12}$$

where,

$$\mathcal{I}_{m,n}^{k}(a,b)=\sum_{l=0}^{m-n+k}\binom{m-n+k}{l}\frac{(-1)^k 2^{\frac{l-1}{2}}}{a^{l-m-k}}\left\{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{l+1}{2},\frac{(b+a)^2}{2}\right)}{(-1)^{m-n-l-1}}+\Gamma\left(\frac{l+1}{2}\right)-\frac{\gamma\left(\frac{l+1}{2},\frac{(b-a)^2}{2}\right)}{[\mathrm{sgn}(b-a)]^{l+1}}\right\} \tag{13}$$

where $\gamma(a,x)$ is the lower incomplete Gamma function and $\lceil x\rceil_{0.5}\triangleq\lceil x-0.5\rceil+0.5$, with $\lceil.\rceil$ denoting the integer ceiling function.

Proof: The truncation error of (4) is expressed by definition as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_t&=\sum_{l=p+1}^{\infty}\frac{a^{n+2l}\,e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}\Gamma(p+l)p^{1-2l}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2},\frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}}\,\Gamma(p-l+1)}\\&=\underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{a^{n+2l}\Gamma(p+l)p^{1-2l}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2},\frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!\,e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}(n+l)!2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}}\,(p-l)!}}_{\mathcal{I}_1}-\sum_{l=0}^{p}\frac{a^{n+2l}\Gamma(p+l)p^{1-2l}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2},\frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!(n+l)!\,e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}}\,(p-l)!}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Given that the $\mathcal{I}_1$ series is infinite and based on the proposed series in [71], the terms $\Gamma(p+l)p^{1-2l}/\Gamma(p-l+1)$ vanish yielding,

$$\mathcal{I}_1=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{a^{n+2l}\,e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2},\frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}}}=Q_{m,n}(a,b). \tag{15}$$

It is recalled here that according to [44, eq. (19)],

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b)\leq Q_{\lceil m\rceil_{0.5},\lceil n\rceil_{0.5}}(a,b) \tag{16}$$

Therefore, by substituting (16) in (15) and then in (14) one obtains the following inequality,

$$\epsilon_t\leq Q_{\lceil m\rceil_{0.5},\lceil n\rceil_{0.5}}(a,b)-\sum_{l=0}^{p}\frac{a^{n+2l}\,e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}\Gamma(p+l)p^{1-2l}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2},\frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}}\,\Gamma(p-l+1)}. \tag{17}$$

The upper bound for the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ function can be expressed in closed-form with the aid of [44, Corollary 1]. Therefore, by substituting in (17) one obtains (12), which completes the proof.

■

Remark 3. *For the specific case that $n=m-1$ and given that $\mathcal{Q}_{m,m-1}(a,b)=Q_m(a,b)$, the following upper bound is obtained for the truncation error of the Marcum $Q-$function*

representations in (10) *and* (11),

$$\epsilon_t \leq \sum_{l=1}^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \frac{(-1)^l b^k (l-k)_{l-1}}{k!\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{2k-l+\frac{1}{2}} a^{k-2l+1} e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{2}} \left\{1-(-1)^k e^{2ab}\right\} + Q(b+a) + Q(b-a) - \sum_{l=0}^{p} \frac{a^{2l}\,\Gamma(p+l)p^{1-2l}\,\Gamma\left(m+l,\frac{b^2}{2}\right)}{l!\Gamma(m+l)2^l\,(p-l)!e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}} \tag{18}$$

where $Q(x)$ *denotes the one dimensional Gaussian* $Q-$*function [63]. By following the same methodology as in Lemma* 1*, a respective upper bound can be also deduced for the truncation error of the infinite series in Remark* 1*.*

E. A Tight Upper Bound and Approximation

Proposition 2. *For* $a,b,m,n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *and for the special cases that either* $b \to 0$ *or* $a,m,n \geq \frac{3}{2}b$*, the following closed-form upper bound for the Nuttall* $Q-$*function is valid,*

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) \leq \frac{a^n \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right)}{n!\,2^{\frac{n-m+1}{2}}\,e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}, n+1, \frac{a^2}{2}\right) \tag{19}$$

which becomes an accurate approximation when $a,m,n \geq \frac{5}{2}b$*.*

Proof: Given that (4) becomes an exact infinite series as $p \to \infty$ and with the aid of the monotonicity property $\Gamma(a,x) \leq \Gamma(x)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ can be upper bounded as follows,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{n+2l}\,e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+2l+1}{2}\right)}{l!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{\frac{n-m+2l+1}{2}}}}_{\mathcal{I}_2}. \tag{20}$$

By expressing each Gamma function as $\Gamma(x+l) = (x)_l\Gamma(x)$ and after some algebraic manipulations one obtains,

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right)e^{\frac{-a^2}{2}}}{n!2^{\frac{n-m+1}{2}}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right)_l a^{n+2l}}{l!\,(n+1)_l 2^l}. \tag{21}$$

The above infinite series can be expressed in terms of Kummer's hypergeometric function in [61, eq. (9.14.1)]. Therefore, by performing the necessary change of variables and substituting (21) into (20) yields (19), which completes the proof. ■

It is recalled that the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{m,n}(a,b)$ functions[2] are neither tabulated nor built-in in popular software packages like MAPLE, MATLAB and MATHEMATICA. As a result, the

[2]Similar expressions to the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ function can be also deduced for the $\mathcal{Q}_{m,n}(a,b)$ function by applying the identity $Q_{m,n}(a,b) = a^n\mathcal{Q}_{m,n}(a,b)$ i.e. by dividing equations (4), (5), (12), and (19) by a^n.

derived expressions are particularly useful both analytically and numerically. The behaviour of the

TABLE I

ACCURACY OF PROPOSED EXPRESSIONS FOR THE $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ FUNCTION.

FUNCTION	EXACT	Eq. (3)	Eqs. (4), (5)	Eqs. (19)
$Q_{0.7,0.3}(0.6,0.4)$	0.6956	0.6956	0.6956	0.7458
$Q_{1.6,1.4}(0.6,0.4)$	0.2890	0.2890	0.2890	0.2898
$Q_{1.2,1.8}(0.6,0.4)$	0.1295	0.1295	0.1295	0.1299
$Q_{0.7,0.3}(0.9,0.4)$	0.7580	0.7580	0.7580	0.8035
$Q_{1.6,1.4}(0.6,1.3)$	0.2360	0.2360	0.2360	0.2898
$Q_{1.2,1.8}(2.0,2.0)$	0.5380	0.5380	0.5380	0.7403

offered results is depicted in Table I along with respective results from numerical integrations for comparisons. The polynomial series was truncated after 20 terms and one can notice the excellent agreement between analytical and numerical results. This is also verified by the value of the corresponding absolute relative error, $\epsilon_r \triangleq \mid Q_{m,n}(a,b) - \tilde{Q}_{m,n}(a,b) \mid / Q_{m,n}(a,b)$, which is typically smaller than $\epsilon_r < 10^{-11}$. It is also noted that (19) appears to be rather accurate particularly for high values of a.

III. NEW CLOSED-FORM EXPRESSIONS FOR THE INCOMPLETE TORONTO FUNCTION

A. Definition and Basic Properties

The ITF has been also a useful special function in wireless communications and is defined as,

$$T_B(m,n,r) \triangleq 2r^{n-m+1}e^{-r^2}\int_0^B t^{m-n}e^{-t^2}I_n(2rt)dt. \tag{22}$$

When $B \to \infty$, the $T_B(m,n,r)$ function reduces to the $T(m,n,r)$ function while for the specific case that $n=(m-1)/2$ it is expressed in terms of the Marcum $Q-$function namely,

$$T_B\left(m,\frac{m-1}{2},r\right) = 1 - Q_{\frac{m+1}{2}}\left(r\sqrt{2},B\sqrt{2}\right). \tag{23}$$

Alternative representations include two infinite series in [13]; however, to the best of the Authors' knowledge no study has been reported on the respective convergence and truncation.

B. Special Cases

Theorem 2. *For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m > n$, the following closed-form expression is valid for the incomplete Toronto function,*

$$\begin{aligned} T_B(m,n,r) = &\sum_{k=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+k+\frac{1}{2}\right)(L-k)!}{\sqrt{\pi}\, k!\, l! \Gamma\left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right)(L-k-l)! 2^{2k+1} r^{(2k+l)}} \\ &\times \left\{ (-1)^{m-l} \gamma\left[\frac{l+1}{2}, (B+r)^2\right] + (-1)^k \gamma\left[\frac{l+1}{2}, (B-r)^2\right] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $L = m - n - \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix C. ■

Likewise, a similar closed-form expression can be derived for the case that $m-2n$ is an odd positive integer. To this end, it is firstly essential to algebraically link the incomplete Toronto function with the Nuttall $Q-$function, which is realised in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2. *For $m, n, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the $T_B(m,n,r)$ function can be algebraically related to the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ function by the following representation,*

$$T_B(m,n,r) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) {}_1F_1\left(n+\frac{1-m}{2}, n+1, -r^2\right)}{n! r^{m-2n-1}} - \frac{Q_{m-n,n}(\sqrt{2}r, \sqrt{2}B)}{r^{m-n-1} 2^{\frac{m-n-1}{2}}} \tag{25}$$

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix D. ■

Lemma 2 is subsequently employed in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > 2n$ and $\frac{m}{2} - n \notin \mathbb{N}$, the following closed-form expression is valid for the incomplete Toronto function,*

$$\begin{aligned} T_B(m,n,r) = &\frac{r^{2n-m+1}}{n!}\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) {}_1F_1\left(n+\frac{1-m}{2}, n+1, -r^2\right) \\ &- \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}-n} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}-n-l} \frac{r^{n+l} 2^{2l+2j} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) B^{n+l+2j+1} I_{n+l-1}(2rB)}{\Gamma(l) r^m \left(\frac{m-1}{2}-j-n\right)_{1-l} \Gamma(n+l+j+1)} \\ &- \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}-n} \frac{r^{2n+2l-m-1}\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-n\right)}{\Gamma(l)\Gamma(n+l)\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)_{1-n-l}} \left[Q_1\left(\sqrt{2}r, \sqrt{2}B\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+l-1} \frac{b^i I_i(2rB)}{r^i e^{r^2+B^2}} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $Q_1(a,b) = Q(a,b)$ denotes the first order Marcum $Q-$function.

Proof: By utilizing (25) and [11, eq. (8)] and after basic algebraic manipulations it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} T_B(m,n,r) = & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\ {}_1F_1\left(n+\frac{1-m}{2}, n+1, -r^2\right)}{n! r^{m-2n-1}} \\ & - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}-n} \frac{r^{2(n+l)}\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-n\right) Q_{n+l}\left(\sqrt{2}r, \sqrt{2}B\right)}{r^{m+1} 2^{\frac{1-l}{2}} \Gamma(l)\Gamma(n+l)\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)_{1-n-l}} \\ & - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}-n} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}-n-l} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}-j-n\right) b^{n+l+2j+1}\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{r^{m-n-l}\Gamma(l)\Gamma(1-n-l-j) e^{\frac{r^2+B^2}{2}}} I_{n+l-1}(2rB). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Given that $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $Q_m(a,b)$ function can be equivalently expressed in terms of the $Q_1(a,b)$ function according to [11, eq. (12)]. To this effect, by performing the necessary variable transformation and substituting in (27) yields (26), which completes the proof. ■

C. Closed-Form Bounds

Lemma 3. *For $m, n, B \in \mathbb{R}^+, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \geq n$, the following inequalities can serve as upper and lower bounds to the incomplete Toronto function,*

$$T_B(m,n,r) \leq T_B(\lceil m \rceil, \lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}, r) \tag{28}$$

$$T_B(m,n,r) \geq T_B(\lfloor m \rfloor, \lceil n \rceil_{0.5}, r) \tag{29}$$

where $\lfloor . \rfloor$ denotes the integer floor function.

Proof: Based on the monotonicity properties of the Toronto function, $T_B(m,n,r)$ is strictly increasing w.r.t m and strictly decreasing w.r.t n. Furthermore, two half-integer rounding operators were given in [44, eq. (18)], namely, $\lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5} = \lfloor n + 0.5 \rfloor - 0.5$ and $\lceil n \rceil_{0.5} = \lceil n - 0.5 \rceil + 0.5$. By also recalling that (24) is valid for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $T_B(\lceil m \rceil, \lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}, r)$ and $T_B(\lfloor m \rfloor, \lceil n \rceil_{0.5}, r)$ can be expressed in closed-form for any value of m, n, r, B and can hence serve as a closed-form bounds for $T_B(m,n,r)$. Thus, by applying the above floor and ceiling functions in (24), equations (28) and (29) are obtained, which completes the proof. ■

D. A Closed-Form Expression in Terms of the Kampé de Fériet Function

A more generalized closed-form expression for the ITF can be derived in terms of the KdF function.

Theorem 4. *For $m, n, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $m + n > -1$, the incomplete Toronto function can be expressed as follows,*

$$T_B(m, n, r) = \frac{2r^{2n-m+1}B^{m+1}}{n!(m+1)e^{r^2}} F_{1,1}^{1,0}\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{m+1}{2}:-,-:\\ \frac{m+3}{2}:n+1,-:\end{matrix} r^2B^2, -B^2\right). \tag{30}$$

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix E. ■

E. A Simple Polynomial Representation

The proposed specific and generalized expressions are undoubtedly useful in applications relating to wireless communications. However, a relatively simple and general representation for the ITF is additionally necessary for cases that the parameters of the $T_B(m, n, r)$ are required to be unrestricted and the algebraic representation of the function must be rather simple.

Proposition 3. *For $m, n, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following polynomial approximation holds for the $T_B(m, n, r)$ function,*

$$T_B(m, n, r) \simeq \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(p+k)r^{2(n+k)-m+1}\gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+k, B^2\right)}{k!p^{2k-1}\Gamma(p-k+1)\Gamma(n+k+1)e^{r^2}}. \tag{31}$$

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 1 and with the aid of [71, eq. (19)] and [61, eq. (8.350.1)]. ■

Remark 4. *By recalling that [71, eq. (19)] reduces to [61, eq. (8.445)] when $p \to \infty$, it immediately follows that* (31) *becomes an exact infinite series representation as $p \to \infty$, namely,*

$$T_B(m, n, r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2(n+k)-m+1}}{k!(n+k)!e^{r^2}} \gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+k, B^2\right). \tag{32}$$

F. A Closed-Form Upper Bound for the Truncation Error

A tight upper bound for the truncation error of (31) can be derived in closed-form.

Lemma 4. *For $m, n, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $m > n$ the following closed-form inequality can serve as an upper bound for the truncation error in* (31)*,*

$$\epsilon_t \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{r^{-(2k+l)}\ \left(\lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}+k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!\,(L-k)!}{k!\,l!\,\left(\lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!(L-k-l)!} \left\{ \frac{\gamma\left[\frac{l+1}{2}, (B+r)^2\right]}{(-1)^{\lceil m \rceil - l}\, 2^{2k+1}} + \frac{\gamma\left[\frac{l+1}{2}, (B-r)^2\right]}{(-1)^{k}\, 2^{2k+1}} \right\} - \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(p+k) r^{2(n+k)-m+1} \gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+k, B^2\right)}{k! p^{2k-1} \Gamma(p-k+1) \Gamma(n+k+1) e^{r^2}}. \tag{33}$$

Proof: Since the corresponding truncation error is expressed as $\epsilon_t = \sum_{p+1}^{\infty} f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f(x) - \sum_{l=0}^{p} f(x)$ and given that (31) reduces to an exact infinite series as $p \to \infty$, it follows that,

$$\epsilon_t = \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2(n+k)-m+1} \gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+k, B^2\right)}{k! \Gamma(n+k+1) e^{r^2}}}_{\mathcal{I}_7} - \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(p+k) r^{2(n+k)-m+1} \gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+k, B^2\right)}{k! p^{2k-1} \Gamma(p-k+1) \Gamma(n+k+1) e^{r^2}}. \tag{34}$$

Notably, $\mathcal{I}_7 = T_B(m, n, r)$; to this effect and with the aid of (28), the ϵ_t can be upper bounded as follows:

$$\epsilon_t \leq T_B(\lceil m \rceil, \lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}, r) - \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(p+k) r^{2(n+k)-m+1} \gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+k, B^2\right)}{k! p^{2k-1} \Gamma(p-k+1) \Gamma(n+k+1) e^{r^2}}. \tag{35}$$

It is recalled here that the $T_B(\lceil m \rceil, \lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}, r)$ function can be expressed in closed-form according to (24). Therefore, by substituting in (35) one obtains (33), which completes the proof. ■

Remark 5. *By omitting the coefficients $\Gamma(p+k)p^{1-2k}/\Gamma(p-k+1)$ in the second term of* (35) *as $p \to \infty$, a closed-form upper bound can be deduced for the truncation error of the infinite series in* (32)*.*

G. A Tight Closed-form Upper Bound and Approximation

Capitalizing on the algebraic representation of the $T_B(m, n, r)$ function, a simple closed-form upper bound is proposed which in certain cases becomes an accurate approximation.

Proposition 4. *For $m, n, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $m, n, r \leq \frac{B}{2}$, the following inequality holds,*

$$T_B(m, n, r) \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\ {}_1F_1\left(\frac{m+1}{2}, n+1, r^2\right)}{r^{m-2n-1} \Gamma(n+1) e^{r^2}} \tag{36}$$

which when $m, n, r \leq 2B$, it can serve as a tight closed-form approximation.

Proof: The proof follows from Proposition 2 and with the aid of the monotonicity identity $\gamma(a) \geq \gamma(a, x)$. ■

TABLE II

ACCURACY OF PROPOSED EXPRESSIONS FOR $T_B(m,n,r)$

FUNCTION	EXACT	Eqs. (24), (26), (30)	Eq. (31)	Eq. (36)
$T_3(2.0,0.5,2.0)$	0.8695	0.8695, n/a, 0.8695	0.8695	1.000
$T_3(3.0,1.5,2.0)$	0.7554	0.7554, n/a, 0.7554	0.7554	0.8761
$T_5(2.0,0.5,2.0)$	0.9999	0.9999, n/a, 0.9999	0.9999	1.0000
$T_5(3.0,1.5,2.0)$	0.8760	0.8760, n/a, 0.8760	0.8760	0.8761
$T_4(3.0,1.0,2.0)$	0.9930	n/a, 0.9930, 0.9930	0.9930	1.000
$T_4(5.0,2.0,2.0)$	0.9865	n/a, 0.9865, 0.9865	0.9865	1.000

The accuracy of the offered expressions is demonstrated in Table II. The exact formulas are in full agreement with the respective numerical results which is also the case for the proposed polynomial approximation for truncation after 20 terms. The corresponding relative error for (31) is rather low, as it is typically $\epsilon_r < 10^{-5}$. Likewise (36) is shown to be relatively tight while the overall involved relative error is proportional to the value of r and is $\epsilon_r < 10^{-6}$ when $r < 1$.

IV. NEW CLOSED-FORM RESULTS FOR THE RICE $Ie-$FUNCTION

A. Definition and Basic Properties

The Rice $Ie-$function is defined by the following finite integral representation [18], [60],

$$Ie(k,x) \triangleq \int_0^x e^{-t} I_0(kt) dt \qquad 0 \leq k \leq 1 \tag{37}$$

and can be alternatively expressed as [18],

$$Ie(k,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{e^{-x(1-k\cos\theta)}}{1-k\cos\theta} d\theta. \tag{38}$$

An analytic expression in terms of the Marcum $Q-$function as well as two alternative series representations were reported in [18], [60]. These series are infinite and are expressed in terms of the modified Struve function, $L_n(.)$, and the $\Gamma(.)$, $I_n(.)$ functions, respectively [61]. Furthermore, they were shown to be complementary to each-other since [18, eq. (2)] converges relatively quickly when $x\sqrt{1-k^2}$ is large and kx is small, whereas [18, eq. (3)] converges relatively quickly when $x\sqrt{1-k^2}$ is small and kx is large. Hence, it appears that utilizing these series is rather inconvenient both analytically and numerically for the following reasons: $i)$ two infinite series are required for computing the $Ie(k,x)$ function; $ii)$ the $L_n(.)$ function is neither tabulated nor built-in in widely used mathematical software packages.

B. Closed-form Upper and Lower Bounds

The lack of simple expressions for the $Ie(k,x)$ function constitutes the derivation of tight upper and lower bounds advantageous. To this end, it is critical to primarily express $Ie(k,x)$ function alternatively.

Lemma 5. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 \leq k \leq 1$, the following analytic representation is valid,*

$$Ie(k,x) = 1 - e^{-x} I_0(kx) + k \int_0^x e^{-t} I_1(kt) dt. \tag{39}$$

Proof: By integrating (37) by part one obtains,

$$Ie(k,x) = \left[\int_0^x e^{-t} dt\right] I_0(kt) - \int_0^x \left[\int_0^x e^{-t} dt\right] \frac{d\, I_0(kt)}{dt} dt. \tag{40}$$

Based on the basic principles of integration it follows straightforwardly that,

$$\int_0^x \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}}. \tag{41}$$

By also recalling that

$$\frac{d}{dx} I_n(kx) = \frac{k}{2} \left[I_{n-1}(kx) + I_{n+1}(kx)\right] \tag{42}$$

and $I_{-1}(x) \triangleq I_1(x)$, it follows that $d\, I_0(kt)/dt = k\, I_1(kt)$. Therefore, by substituting accordingly in (40) one obtains (39), which completes the proof. ■

Capitalizing on Lemma 5, we derive closed-form upper and lower bounds for the $Ie(k,x)$ function.

Theorem 5. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 \leq k \leq 1$, the following inequalities can serve as upper and lower bounds for the Rice $Ie-$function,*

$$Ie(k,x) < 1 - \frac{I_0(kx)}{e^x} + \sqrt{\frac{k}{2(1-k)}} + \frac{\sqrt{2k} Q(\sqrt{2x}\sqrt{1+k})}{\sqrt{1+k}} - \sqrt{\frac{k}{2(1+k)}} - \frac{\sqrt{2k} Q(\sqrt{2x}\sqrt{1-k})}{\sqrt{1-k}} \tag{43}$$

and[3]

$$Ie(k,x) > \frac{2Q(b+a) + 2Q(b-a) - e^{-x} I_0(kx) - 1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}} \tag{44}$$

where $a = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}$ and $b = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{1-\sqrt{1-k^2}}$.

[3]Eq. (43) can be also expressed in terms of the error function, $\mathrm{erf}(x)$, and the complementary error function, $\mathrm{erfc}(x) = 1 - \mathrm{erf}(x)$ with the aid of the identities: $Q(x) \triangleq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$.

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix F. ■

Remark 6. *The authors in [44] derived closed-form bounds for the $Q_m(a,b)$ function. By performing the necessary change of variables and substituting accordingly in [60, eq. (2c)], one an alternative closed-form upper bound can be obtained. However, the algebraic representation of such a bound is significantly less compact and less convenient than* (43) *both analytically and numerically. Likewise, a lower bound for the $Ie(k,x)$ function could be theoretically derived by following the same methodology as in Theorem 2. Nevertheless, this approach leads to an integral representation that its analytic solution is divergent.*

C. A Closed-form Expression in terms of the Humbert Function

Theorem 6. *For $0 \leq k \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following expression is valid for the $Ie(k,x)$ function,*

$$Ie(k,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}} - \frac{e^{-(1+k)x}}{1+k}\Phi_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, 1, \frac{2k}{1+k}, 2kx\right) \tag{45}$$

where $\Phi_1(a,b,c,x,y)$ denotes the Humbert series, or confluent Appell function of the first kind [61], [73], [74].

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix G. ■

D. A Simple Polynomial Representation

Similar to the case of $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ and $T_B(m,n,r)$ functions, a simple representation for the Rice $Ie-$function is advantageous for cases that both parameter generality and algebraic simplicity are required.

Proposition 5. *For $x,k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 \leq k \leq 1$, the following polynomial approximation is valid for the $Ie(k,x)$ function,*

$$Ie(k,x) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}k^{2l}\gamma(1+2l,x)}{l!\Gamma(L-l+1)\Gamma(l+1)2^{2l}} \tag{46}$$

which as $L \to \infty$, it becomes an exact infinite series representation,

$$Ie(k,x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2l}\gamma(1+2l,x)}{l!\Gamma(l+1)2^{2l}}. \tag{47}$$

Proof: The proof follows immediately from Proposition 1 and Proposition 3. ■

E. A Closed-Form Upper Bound for the Truncation Error

The precise accuracy of (46) can be quantified by an upper bound for the truncation error.

Lemma 6. *For $k, x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 \leq k \leq 1$, the following inequality holds for the truncation error in* (46)*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_t <& 1 + \sqrt{\frac{k}{2(1-k)}}\left\{1 - 2\,Q(\sqrt{2x}\sqrt{1-k})\right\} - \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)k^{2l}\gamma(1+2l,x)}{l!l!L^{2l-1}(L-l)!2^{2l}} \\ & - e^{-x}I_0(kx) - \sqrt{\frac{k}{2(1+k)}}\left\{1 - 2\,Q(\sqrt{2x}\sqrt{1+k})\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Proof: When (46) is truncated after L terms, the corresponding truncation error is given by,

$$\epsilon_t = \sum_{l=L+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)k^{2l}\gamma(1+2l,x)}{l!L^{2l-1}(L-l)!l!2^{2l}} = \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(1+2l,x)}{l!l!2^{2l}k^{-2l}}}_{\mathcal{I}_9} - \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)k^{2l}\gamma(1+2l,x)}{l!(L-l)!l!L^{2l-1}2^{2l}}. \tag{49}$$

Since $\mathcal{I}_9 = Ie(k,x)$, eq. (49) can be equivalently expressed as follows,

$$\epsilon_t = Ie(k,x) - \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}k^{2l}\gamma(1+2l,x)}{l!\Gamma(L-l+1)\Gamma(l+1)2^{2l}}. \tag{50}$$

The $Ie(k,x)$ can be upper bounded with the aid of the closed-form upper bound in (43). As a result, the inequality in (48) is deduced, which completes the proof. ■

Remark 7. *By omitting the terms $\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}/\Gamma(L-l+1)$ from the finite series term of* (48)*, a similar closed-form upper bound is deduced for* (47)*.*

TABLE III

ACCURACY OF PROPOSED EXPRESSIONS FOR THE $Ie(k,x)$ FUNCTION

FUNCTION	EXACT	Eq. (43)	Eq. (44)	Eq. (45)	Eq. (46)
$Ie(0.1, 0.1)$	0.0952	0.0952	0.0631	0.0952	0.0952
$Ie(0.1, 0.4)$	0.3297	0.3328	0.2829	0.3297	0.3297
$Ie(0.4, 0.4)$	0.3303	0.3526	0.1384	0.3303	0.3303
$Ie(0.6, 0.4)$	0.3311	0.3696	0.0079	0.3311	0.3311
$Ie(0.6, 0.8)$	0.5993	0.6380	0.2630	0.5993	0.5993
$Ie(0.8, 0.9)$	0.6139	0.7400	0.1110	0.6139	0.6139

Table III illustrates the behaviour of the derived expressions for the $Ie(k,x)$ function. The proposed bounds are fairly tight for different values of k and x while it is clear that (45) and (46) are in excellent agreement with the respective exact numerical results.

V. New Expressions for the Incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel Integrals

A. Definition and Basic Properties

The general form of ILHIs are defined by the following non-infinite integral representation,

$$Ze_{m,n}(x;a) \triangleq \int_0^x y^m e^{-ay} Z_n(y) dy \tag{51}$$

where $Z_n(x)$ is one of the cylindrical functions $J_n(x)$, $I_n(x)$, $Y_n(x)$, $K_n(x)$, $H_n^1(x)$ or $H_n^2(x)$, [13], [20]. An alternative representation for the $I_n(x)$ based ILHIs was reported in [62], namely,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) = A_{m,n}^0(a) + e^{-ax} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \frac{B_{m,n}^{i,j}(a)}{[x^i I_j(x)]^{-1}} + \frac{Q_1\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{a+\sqrt{a^2-1}}}, \sqrt{x}\sqrt{a+\sqrt{a^2-1}}\right)}{[A_{m,n}^1(a)]^{-1}} \tag{52}$$

where the set of coefficients $A_{m,n}^l(a)$ and $B_{m,n}^{i,j}(a)$ can be obtained recursively, [62]. The above expression was employed in analytical investigations on error rate of MIMO systems under imperfect channel state information. Nevertheless, its algebraic representation is relatively inconvenient and laborious to handle.

As with the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$, $T_B(m,n,r)$ and $Ie(k,x)$ functions, the ILHIs are neither tabulated nor built-in in popular mathematical software packages. Therefore, the derivation of analytic expressions will be particularly advantageous both analytically and numerically.

B. Special Cases

A closed-form expression can be derived for the special case that m and n are positive half-integers.

Theorem 7. *For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $m \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq n$, the following closed-form expression holds for the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals,*

$$\begin{aligned} Ie_{m,n}(x;a) = &\sum_{k=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma\left(n+k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \gamma\left(m-k+\frac{1}{2}, (a-1)x\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} k! 2^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right) (a-1)^{m-k+\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(n+k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \gamma\left(m-k+\frac{1}{2}, (a+1)x\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} k! 2^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right) (a+1)^{m-k+\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix H. ■

Likewise, a closed-form expression is derived for the special case that the sum of the indices m and n is a positive integer.

Theorem 8. *For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $a > 1$ and $m + n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following closed-form expression is valid for the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals,*

$$\begin{aligned} Ie_{m,n}(x;a) = {} & \frac{\Gamma(m+n+1)}{2^n n! a^{m+n+1}} \, {}_2F_1\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}, \frac{m+n}{2}+1; 1+n; \frac{1}{a^2}\right) \\ & - \sum_{l=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{l} \frac{l! x^{m+n-1} e^{-x(1+a)}}{(1+a)^{l+1} 2^n n!} \Phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1+l, 1+2n; \frac{2}{1+a}, 2x\right). \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where ${}_2F_1(a,b;c,x)$ denotes the Gauss hypergeometric function [61].

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix I. ■

In the same context, simple closed-form expressions can be derived for the cases that $m = -n$ and $m = n = 0$.

Theorem 9. *For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $a > 1$ and $m = -n$, the following closed-form expression is valid for the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals,*

$$Ie_{-n,n}(x;a) = \frac{{}_2F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1; 1+2n; \frac{2}{1+a}\right)}{(1+a) n! 2^n} - \frac{\Phi_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1, 1+2n; \frac{2}{1+a}, 2x\right)}{2^n (1+a)\Gamma(n+1) e^{x(1+a)}} \tag{55}$$

which for the special case that $m = n = 0$ can be expressed as,

$$Ie_{m=0,n=0}(x;a) = Ie_{0,0}(x;a) = \frac{Q_1(\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c}) - Q_1(\mathsf{c},\mathsf{b})}{\sqrt{(a+1)(a-1)}} \tag{56}$$

where,

$$\mathsf{b} = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{a + \sqrt{(a+1)(a-1)}} \tag{57}$$

and

$$\mathsf{c} = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{a - \sqrt{(a+1)(a-1)}}. \tag{58}$$

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix J. ■

C. Closed-form Upper and Lower Bounds

Capitalizing on the derived closed-form expression for the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals in Theorem 7, tight closed-form upper and lower bounds can be readily deduced.

Lemma 7. *For $m,n,x,a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $m \geq n$, the following inequalities can serve as upper and lower bounds to the $I_n(x)$ based incomplete Lipschitz Hankel integrals,*

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \leq Ie_{\lceil m \rceil_{0.5},\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}}(x;a) \tag{59}$$

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \geq Ie_{\lfloor m \rfloor_{0.5},\lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}}(x;a). \tag{60}$$

Proof: The $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals are monotonically increasing w.r.t m and monotonically decreasing w.r.t n. By recalling the two half-integer rounding operators in [44, eq. (18)] as well as that (53) holds for $m \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \pm 0.5 \in \mathbb{N}$, it becomes evident that $Ie_{\lfloor m \rfloor_{0.5},\lfloor n \rfloor_{0.5}}(x;a)$ and $Ie_{\lceil m \rceil_{0.5},\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}}(x;a)$ can be expressed in closed-form for any value of m, n, r and x. As a result, equations (59) and (60) are straightforwardly deduced thus, completing the proof. ■

D. A Simple Polynomial Representation

The proposed expressions for the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals can be useful for applications related to wireless communications. However, a simpler and more general analytic expression is additionally necessary for scenarios that require unrestricted parameters and/or rather simple algebraic representation.

Proposition 6. *For $a,m,n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following expression holds for the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals,*

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}\gamma(m+n+2l+1,ax)}{l!(L-l)!(n+l)!2^{n+2l}a^{m+n+2l+1}} \tag{61}$$

which as $L \to \infty$ it reduces to the following exact infinite series representation,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(m+n+2l+1,ax)}{l!(n+l)!2^{n+2l}a^{m+n+2l+1}}. \tag{62}$$

Proof: The proof is provided in Appendix K. ■

E. A Closed-form Upper Bound for the Truncation Error

Lemma 8. *For $a, m, n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following inequality holds as an upper bound for the truncation error of* (61)*,*

$$\epsilon_t \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}+k+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}k!\Gamma\left(\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}-k+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \times \left\{ \frac{\gamma\left(m-k+\frac{1}{2},(a-1)x\right)}{(-1)^k(a-1)^{m-k+\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\gamma\left(m-k+\frac{1}{2},(a+1)x\right)}{(-1)^{\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}+\frac{1}{2}}(a+1)^{m-k+\frac{1}{2}}} \right\}$$
$$- \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}\gamma(m+n+2l+1,ax)}{l!(L-l)!(n+l)!2^{n+2l}a^{m+n+2l+1}}. \tag{63}$$

Proof: Since (61) reduces to (62) as $L \to \infty$, the corresponding truncation error is given by,

$$\epsilon_t = \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(m+n+2l+1,ax)}{l!(n+l)!2^{n+2l}a^{m+n+2l+1}}}_{\mathcal{I}_{10}} - \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}\gamma(m+n+2l+1,ax)}{l!(L-l)!(n+l)!2^{n+2l}a^{m+n+2l+1}}. \tag{64}$$

Notably, $\mathcal{I}_{10} = Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ while $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ can be upper bounded using $Ie_{\lceil m \rceil_{0.5},\lceil n \rceil_{0.5}}(x;a)$. As a result, by substituting (53) into (64) one obtains (63), which completes the proof. ■

Remark 8. *By omitting the terms $\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}/(L-l)!$ in* (63)*, a similar upper bound is also deduced for* (62)*.*

F. A Tight Closed-form Upper Bound and Approximation

The algebraic representation of the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals allows the derivation of a simple upper bound which in certain range of values becomes an accurate approximation.

Proposition 7. *For $m, n, a, x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x, a > m, n$, the following inequality is valid for the ILHIs,*

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \leq \frac{(n+1)_m \, {}_2F_1\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}, \frac{m+n}{2}+1; n+1; \frac{1}{a^2}\right)}{a^{m+n+1}2^n} \tag{65}$$

which for $a > 3$ and $, x > 3$ becomes an accurate closed-form approximation.

Proof: The $\gamma(a,x)$ function can be upper bounded by the $\Gamma(a)$ function since $\Gamma(a) = \gamma(a, x=\infty)$. To this effect, the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals can be upper bounded as follows:

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}\Gamma(m+n+2l+1)}{l!(L-l)!(n+l)!2^{n+2l}a^{m+n+2l+1}}. \tag{66}$$

As $L \to \infty$ and recalling that $x! = \Gamma(x+1)$ and $\Gamma(a,n) = (a)_n \Gamma(a)$ it immediately follows that,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n+1)_{2l}\Gamma(m+n+1)2^{-2l}}{l!(n+1)_l \Gamma(n+1)2^n a^{m+n+2l+1}}. \tag{67}$$

Importantly, with the aid of the identity $(2x)_{2l} \triangleq 2^{2l}(x)_l(x+0.5)_l$, eq. (67) can be also expressed as,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \leq \frac{(m+n)!}{(n)!a^{m+n+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right)_l \left(\frac{m+n}{2}+1\right)_l}{l!(n+1)_l 2^{n+2l} a^{2l} 2^{-2l}}. \tag{68}$$

The above series can be expressed in closed-form in terms of the Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;x)$. Hence, by substituting in (68) and performing some basic algebraic manipulations (65) is deduced thus, completing the proof. ■

TABLE IV

ACCURACY OF PROPOSED EXPRESSIONS FOR THE $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ INTEGRALS

FUNCTION	EXACT	Eqs. (53), (54), (55), (56)	Eq. (61)	Eq. (65)
$Ie_{0,0}(3.2;1.7)$	0.6974	n/a, 0.6974, n/a, 0.6974	0.6974	0.7274
$Ie_{0,0}(3.2;2.7)$	0.3982	n/a, 0.3982, n/a, 0.3982	0.3982	0.3987
$Ie_{0.5,0.5}(3.2;1.7)$	0.3615	0.3615, 0.3615, n/a, n/a	0.3615	0.4222
$Ie_{0.5,0.5}(3.2;2.7)$	0.1258	0.1258, 0.1258, n/a, n/a	0.1258	0.1268
$Ie_{-0.5,0.5}(3.2;1.7)$	0.5245	n/a, 0.5245, 0.5245, n/a	0.5245	0.5385
$Ie_{-0.5,0.5}(3.2;2.7)$	0.3000	n/a, 0.3000, 0.3000, n/a	0.3000	0.3103

The accuracy of the derived analytic expressions for the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals is depicted in Table IV along with respective results from numerical integrations. One can notice the excellent agreement between analytical and numerical results while the proposed upper bound and approximation appear to be quite accurate. Specifically, truncating (61) after 30 terms and for $a < 2$ yields a relative error of $\epsilon_r < 10^{-4}$. It is also noticed that the tight upper bound for small values of a becomes an accurate approximation as a increases. This is additionally evident by the involved relative error which can be as low as $\epsilon_r < 10^{-9}$.

In the same context, the accuracy of the proposed polynomial approximations for the above functions and integrals is depicted in Table V in terms of the involved relative error. Evidently, the value of ϵ_r is rather low for numerous different parametric scenarios which indicates the overall high accuracy of the proposed analytic expressions.

TABLE V

ABSOLUTE RELATIVE ERROR FOR ALL PROPOSED SERIES REPRESENTATIONS

FUNCTION	n = 30	FUNCTION	n = 30
$Q_{1.1,0.8}(1.7,1.4)$	5.0×10^{-13}	$Ie_{1.1,0.8}(1.7;1.4)$	4.0×10^{-10}
$Q_{1.1,1.4}(1.9,1.2)$	9.7×10^{-12}	$Ie_{1.1,1.4}(1.9;1.2)$	9.4×10^{-11}
$Q_{2.2,0.9}(2.1,1.9)$	1.9×10^{-13}	$Ie_{2.2,0.9}(2.1;1.9)$	3.0×10^{-10}
$Q_{0.9,1.2}(0.6,0.9)$	7.3×10^{-13}	$Ie_{0.9,1.2}(0.6;0.9)$	9.1×10^{-11}
$Q_{1.7,1.7}(0.3,0.2)$	1.8×10^{-13}	$Ie_{1.7,1.7}(0.3;0.2)$	1.5×10^{-6}
$T_3(1.8,0.9,0.7)$	7.5×10^{-10}	$Ie(0.3,1.8)$	1.2×10^{-15}
$T_3(1.1,1.9,1.2)$	9.8×10^{-9}	$Ie(0.3,3.1)$	1.5×10^{-15}
$T_4(1.3,1.3,1.9)$	2.1×10^{-9}	$Ie(0.9,1.2)$	1.3×10^{-15}
$T_4(2.7,2.7,2.7)$	7.3×10^{-12}	$Ie(0.9,4.8)$	1.4×10^{-15}

VI. CLOSED-FORM EXPRESSIONS FOR SPECIAL CASES OF THE KAMPE DE FERIET AND THE HUMBERT Φ_1 FUNCTIONS

The previous Sections were devoted to the derivation of novel analytic expressions for the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$, $T_B(m,n,r)$, $Ie(k,x)$ functions and the $Ie_{m,n}(x;a)$ integrals. Capitalizing on the offered analytic results, useful closed-form expression can be readily deduced for special cases of the KdF and Humbert Φ_1 special functions.

Corollary 1. *For $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^+$ and $a>-\frac{1}{2}$, $b>-1$, the following closed-form expression is valid,*

$$F_{1,1}^{1,0}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a:-,-:\\ a+1:b,-:\end{smallmatrix}x,-y\right)=\mathcal{F}_1(a,a+1,b;x,-y)=\frac{a\Gamma(b)e^{\frac{x}{y}}}{x^{b-a}y^{2a-b}}T_{\sqrt{y}}\left(2a-1,b-1,\sqrt{\frac{x}{y}}\right) \tag{69}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1(\cdot)$ denotes the following infinite series representations,

$$\mathcal{F}_1(a,a+1,b;x,-y)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{a}{a+l+i}\frac{x^l}{l!}\frac{y^i}{i!}=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{l+i}}{(a+1)_{l+i}(b)_l}\frac{x^l}{l!}\frac{y^i}{i!}. \tag{70}$$

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 4 by setting $a=(m+1)/2$, $b=n+1$, $x=r^2B^2$ and $y=B^2$. ■

Corollary 2. *For $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^+$, the following closed-form expression is valid,*

$$\begin{aligned}F_{1,1}^{1,0}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a:-,-:\\ a+1:b,-:\end{smallmatrix}x,-y\right)&=\mathcal{F}_1(a,a+1,b;x,-y)\\&=\frac{a\Gamma(a)\,{}_1F_1\left(b-a,b,-\frac{x}{y}\right)}{y^ae^{-\frac{x}{y}}}-\frac{a\Gamma(b)Q_{2a-b,b-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2x}{y}},\sqrt{2y}\right)}{y^{a-\frac{b-1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x}{y}}x^{\frac{b-1}{2}}2^{a-\frac{b+1}{2}}}\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1(a, a+1, b; x, -y)$ *is given in* (70)*.*

Proof: The proof follows immediately by applying Lemma 2 in Corollary 1. ■

Likewise, closed-form expressions are deduced for special cases of the Humbert Φ_1 function.

Corollary 3. *For* $a \in \mathbb{R}$*,* $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $-1 < x < 1$*, the following closed-form expression holds,*

$$\Phi_1(a, 1, 2a; x, y) = {}_2F_1(a, 1; 2a, x)e^{\frac{y}{x}} - \frac{2^{a+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{xe^{-\frac{y}{x}}} Ie_{\frac{1}{2}-a, a-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{y}{2}; \frac{2}{x} - 1\right). \tag{72}$$

Proof: The proof follows immediately from (55) in from Theorem 9 for $a = n + \frac{1}{2}$. ■

Corollary 4. *For* $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $-1 < x < 1$*, the following closed-form expression is valid,*

$$\Phi_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, 1; x, y\right) = e^{\frac{y}{x}}\left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, 1, x\right) - \frac{Q_1(b, c) - Q_1(c, b)}{\sqrt{1-x}}\right] \tag{73}$$

where

$$b = \sqrt{\frac{y}{x}(1 + \sqrt{1-x}) - \frac{y}{2}} \tag{74}$$

and

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{y}{x}(1 + \sqrt{1-x}) - \frac{y}{2}}. \tag{75}$$

Proof: The proof follows from (56) in Theorem 9 by setting $n = 0$ and $a = \frac{2}{x} - 1$. ■

VII. Applications in Wireless Communications Theory

As already mentioned, the offered analytic results can be particularly useful in the context of wireless communications. To this end, they are indicatively employed in deriving analytic expressions for applications relating to digital communications over fading channels.

A. Outage Probability over i.i.d. Hoyt and Rician Fading Channels for SISO Systems

Corollary 5. *For* $0 \leq q \leq 1$ *and* $\gamma_{th} \in \mathbb{R}^+$*, the OP over i.i.d. Hoyt fading channels can be expressed as,*

$$P_{\text{out}} = \frac{2q}{1-q^2} Ie_{0,0}\left(\frac{1-q^4}{4\overline{\gamma}q^2}\gamma_{th}; \frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2}\right) = \frac{2q}{1+q^2} Ie\left(\frac{1-q^2}{1+q^2}, \frac{(1+q^2)^2}{4\overline{\gamma}q^2}\gamma_{th}\right) \tag{76}$$

where q*,* $\overline{\gamma}$ *and* γ_{th} *denote the Nakagami*$-q$ *fading parameter, the average SNR and the pre-determined SNR threshold, respectively.*

Proof: The Nakagami$-q$ or Hoyt distribution has been useful in accounting for multipath fading in wireless communications and particularly in satellite links subject to strong atmospheric scintillation [10]$-$and the references therein. The PDF of the corresponding SNR per symbol is expressed according to [23, eq. (2.11)],

$$p_{\gamma}(\gamma) = \frac{1+q^2}{2q\overline{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{\left(1+q^2\right)^2 \gamma}{2q^2\overline{\gamma}}} I_0\left(\frac{1-q^4}{4q^2\overline{\gamma}}\gamma\right). \tag{77}$$

It is also recalled that the OP over fading channels is defined as [23, eq. (1.4)], namely,

$$P_{\text{out}} \triangleq F\left(\gamma_{th}\right) = \int_0^{\gamma_{th}} p_{\gamma}(\gamma) d\gamma \tag{78}$$

where $F(\gamma)$ is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of γ. Thus, by substituting (77) in (78) and after performing necessary change of variables and basic algebraic manipulations yields,

$$P_{\text{out}} = \frac{2q}{1-q^2} \int_0^{\frac{1-q^4}{4q^2\overline{\gamma}}\gamma_{th}} e^{-\frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2}\gamma} I_0(\gamma) d\gamma = \frac{2q}{1+q^2} \int_0^{\frac{(1+q^2)^2}{4q^2\overline{\gamma}}\gamma_{th}} e^{-\gamma} I_0\left(\frac{1-q^2}{1+q^2}\gamma\right) d\gamma. \tag{79}$$

Notably, with the aid of the aforementioned identity $aIe_{0,0}(x;a) = Ie(1/a, ax)$, the above integrals can be expressed in terms of the ILHIs and Rice $Ie-$function, respectively. As a result (76) is deduced, which completes the proof. ■

Corollary 6. *For $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\gamma_{th} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the OP for over i.i.d. Rician fading channels can be expressed by the following compact formula,*

$$P_{\text{out}} = T_{\sqrt{(1+n^2)\gamma_{th}/\overline{\gamma}}}(1, 0, n) \tag{80}$$

where n denotes the Nakagami$-n$ parameter.

Proof: It is widely known that Rice distribution has been traditionally used for accounting for multipath fading in line-of-sight (LOS) communication scenarios. The corresponding SNR per symbol follows the non-central chi-square distribution with its PDF given by [23, eq. (2.16)],

$$p_{\gamma}(\gamma) = \frac{1+n^2}{\overline{\gamma}e^{n^2}} e^{-(1+n^2)\frac{\gamma}{\overline{\gamma}}} I_0\left(2n\sqrt{\frac{(1+n^2)\gamma}{\overline{\gamma}}}\right) \tag{81}$$

where n is related to the Ricean K factor by $K = n^2$ and physically denotes the ratio of the LOS component to the average power of the scattered component [10]. By substituting (81) in (78) and setting $t = \sqrt{(1+n^2)\gamma/\overline{\gamma}}$ it follows that,

$$P_{\text{out}} = 2e^{-n^2} \int_0^{\sqrt{(1+n^2)\frac{\gamma_{th}}{\overline{\gamma}}}} t e^{-t^2} I_0(2nt) dt \tag{82}$$

which after some basic algebraic manipulations can be expressed in terms of the $T_B(m,n,r)$ functionand thus, yielding (80) and completing the proof. ■

B. Truncated Channel Inversion with Fixed Rate Transmission in SISO Systems over i.i.d. Rician Fading Channels

Corollary 7. *For $n,\gamma_0,\gamma_{th},\overline{\gamma},B\in\mathbb{R}^+$, the capacity for truncated channel inversion with fixed-rate (TIFR) policy over i.i.d. Rician fading channels can be expressed as follows,*

$$C_{\rm TIFR}=B\log_2\left(1+\frac{\overline{\gamma}}{2(1+n^2)Q_{-1,0}\left(n\sqrt{2},\sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_0(1+n^2)}{\overline{\gamma}}}\right)}\right)\left[1-T_{\sqrt{(1+n^2)\gamma_{th}/\overline{\gamma}}}(1,0,n)\right] \quad (83)$$

where B and γ_0 denote the corresponding channel bandwidth and the optimum cut-off SNR below which data transmission is suspended [10], [76].

Proof: The inversion of the channel fading technique is based on adapting the transmitter power in order to maintain a constant SNR at the receiver. This technique often suffers a capacity penalty which can be combated by inverting the channel fading only above a pre-determined fixed cut-off fade depth γ_0 [10]. Mathematically, the C-TIFR is given by [10, eq. (15.36)], namely,

$$C_{\rm TIFR}=B\log_2\left(1+\frac{1}{\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty}\frac{p_\gamma(\gamma)}{\gamma}d\gamma}\right)\left[1-P_{\rm out}\right]. \quad (84)$$

As a result, in the case of Rician fading one obtains straightforwardly,

$$\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty}\frac{p_\gamma(\gamma)}{\gamma}d\gamma=\frac{c}{e^{n^2}}\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\gamma}e^{-c\gamma}I_0\left(2n\sqrt{c\gamma}\right)d\gamma \quad (85)$$

where $c=(1+n^2)/\overline{\gamma}$. Setting $y=\sqrt{2ax}$ and thus, $x=y^2/2a$ and $dy/dx=\sqrt{a/2x}$ and after some basic algebraic manipulations it follows that,

$$\frac{c}{e^{n^2}}\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\gamma}e^{-c\gamma}I_0\left(2n\sqrt{c\gamma}\right)d\gamma=2\frac{1+n^2}{\overline{\gamma}}\int_{\sqrt{\frac{2(1+n^2)\gamma_0}{\overline{\gamma}}}}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma^2+2n^2}{2}}}{\gamma}I_0\left(\sqrt{2}n\gamma\right)d\gamma. \quad (86)$$

The above integral can be expressed in terms of the Nuttall $Q-$function. To this end, by substituting in (84) along with (80) yields (83), which completes the proof. ■

The optimum cut-off fade depth below which the data transmission is suspended is given by [10, eq. (15.5)], namely,

$$\underbrace{\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty}\frac{p_\gamma(\gamma)}{\gamma_0}d\gamma}_{\mathcal{I}_{12}}-\underbrace{\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty}\frac{p_\gamma(\gamma)}{\gamma}d\gamma}_{\mathcal{I}_{11}}\triangleq 1. \quad (87)$$

For the case of Rician fading we substitute (81) in (87) and by recalling that $\mathcal{I}_{11}$ can be expressed in closed-form according to (86) it follows that,

$$\underbrace{\frac{1+n^2}{\overline{\gamma}e^{n^2}}\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1+n^2}{\overline{\gamma}}\gamma} I_0\left(2n\sqrt{\frac{1+n^2}{\overline{\gamma}}\gamma}\right) d\gamma}_{\mathcal{I}_{12}} - 2(1+n^2)Q_{-1,0}\left(n\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_0(1+n^2)}{\overline{\gamma}}}\right) = 1. \tag{88}$$

By setting $y = \sqrt{2(1+n^2)\gamma/\overline{\gamma}}$ and thus, $\gamma = \overline{\gamma}t^2/2(1+n^2)$ and $dt/d\gamma = \sqrt{(1+n^2)/2\gamma\overline{\gamma}}$ the $\mathcal{I}_{12}$ term can be expressed in closed-form in terms of the Marcum $Q-$function, namely,

$$\mathcal{I}_{12} = Q_1\left(n\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_0(1+n^2)}{\overline{\gamma}}}\right). \tag{89}$$

Therefore, by substituting (89) in (88) and after performing basic algebraic manipulations, the optimum cut-off SNR can be finally expressed as follows,

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\overline{\gamma}Q_1\left(n\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_0(1+n^2)}{\overline{\gamma}}}\right)}{\overline{\gamma} + 2(1+n^2)Q_{-1,0}\left(n\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_0(1+n^2)}{\overline{\gamma}}}\right)}. \tag{90}$$

The above expression can be used in determining γ_0 numerically with the aid of popular software packages such as MATLAB and MATHEMATICA.

C. Truncated Channel Inversion with Fixed Rate Transmission in MIMO Systems over Rician Fading Channels

In multiple-input multiple-output spatial multiplexing communications, truncated channel inversion with fixed rate can be applied to each eigen-mode in order to transform the fading eigen-modes into a set of parallel AWGN channels with the same average SNR [76]. This is expressed as $(m\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty} p_\gamma(\gamma)/\gamma d\gamma)^{-1}$ where, $p_\gamma(\gamma)$ is the PDF of the corresponding fading statistics and m are the non-zero positive real eigenvalues of the non-central Wishart-type random matrix $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{\mathcal{H}}$, with $\mathcal{H}$ denoting the Hermitian operator. Also, γ_0 is the predetermined SNR threshold which is selected accordingly for either guaranteeing a required OP or for maximizing the achievable fixed transmission rate of the eigen-mode truncated channel inversion (em-ti) policy with capacity:

$$C_{\mathrm{em-tifr}}^{m,n} = m\log_2\left(1 + \frac{1}{m\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty}\frac{p_\gamma(\gamma)}{\gamma}d\gamma}\right)\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty} p_\gamma(\gamma)d\gamma \tag{91}$$

where n are non-zero mean circularly symmetric Gaussian random variables whose sum denote the non-zero eigenvalue λ [77], [79].

Corollary 8. *For $K, n, \gamma_0, \overline{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\gamma_{th} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the em-tifr capacity of MISO/SIMO communication systems over uncorrelated Rician fading channels can be expressed as follows,*

$$C_{\mathrm{em-tifr}}^{1,n} = \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\overline{\gamma}\,(2K\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\boldsymbol{m})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2(K+1)Q_{n-2,n-1}\left(\sqrt{2K\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\boldsymbol{m}}, \sqrt{\frac{2(K+1)\gamma_0}{\overline{\gamma}}}\right)} \right) \times \left[1 - T_{\sqrt{\frac{(K+1)\gamma_0}{\overline{\gamma}}}} \left(2n-1, n-1, \sqrt{K\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\boldsymbol{m}} \right) \right] \tag{92}$$

where K is the Rician $K-$factor and $\boldsymbol{m}$ is the N-dimensional deterministic vector that accounts for the corresponding LOS component.

Proof: With the aid of the SNR PDF for uncorrelated Rician fading channels in [79, eq. (29)] and recalling that $\int_{\gamma_0}^{\infty} p_{\gamma}(\gamma)d\gamma = 1 - P_{\mathrm{out}}$, it immediately follows that,

$$P_{\mathrm{out}}^{1,n} = \frac{(K+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} e^{-K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m}}}{\overline{\gamma}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_0^{\gamma_0} \gamma^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{(K+1)\gamma}{2}} I_{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{(K+1)K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m}\gamma}{\overline{\gamma}}} \right) d\gamma. \tag{93}$$

The above representation can be expressed in terms of the incomplete Toronto function, namely,

$$P_{\mathrm{out}}^{1,n} = T_{\sqrt{\frac{(K+1)\gamma_0}{\overline{\gamma}}}} \left(2n-1, n-1, \sqrt{K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m}} \right). \tag{94}$$

By substituting (94) in [79, eq. (38)], one obtains (92), which completes the proof. ■

The optimal cutoff threshold for (92) has to satisfy [79, eq. (34)], namely,

$$\gamma_0 = Q_n\left(\sqrt{2K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m}}, \sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0} \right) - \frac{2^{\frac{3-n}{2}}\mu_K\gamma_0}{(K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} Q_{n-2,n-1}\left(\sqrt{2K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m}}, \sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0} \right) \tag{95}$$

where $\mu_K = (K+1)/\overline{\gamma}$. The above expression can be further elaborated and an exact closed-form expression for γ_0 can be deduced.

Lemma 9. *For $K, \overline{\gamma}, n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and with $Q_{m,n}^{-1}(a,b)$ denoting the inverse Nuttall $Q-$function, the following closed-form expression holds for the optimal cut-off threshold in* (92)*,*

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\left[Q_{n-2,n-1}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2K\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\boldsymbol{m}}, -\frac{(2K\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\boldsymbol{m})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\mu_K} \right) \right]^2}{2\mu_K}. \tag{96}$$

Proof: By taking the first derivative of (95) w.r.t. γ_0 it immediately follows that,

$$\frac{\partial Q_n\left(\mathcal{A},\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)}{\partial\gamma_0}-\frac{2\mu_K\gamma_0}{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}}\frac{\partial Q_{n-2,n-1}\left(\mathcal{A},\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)}{\partial\gamma_0}-\frac{2\mu_K}{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}}Q_{n-2,n-1}\left(\mathcal{A},\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)=1 \tag{97}$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\sqrt{2K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m}}$. After performing the above derivatives it immediately follows that,

$$\frac{2\mu_K\gamma_0\, I_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}\sqrt{\mu_K\gamma_0})}{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(2\mu_K\gamma_0)^{1-\frac{n}{2}}e^{\frac{2\mu_K\gamma_0+\mathcal{A}^2}{2}}}-\frac{2\mu_K}{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}}Q_{n-2,n-1}\left(\mathcal{A},\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)-\frac{(2\mu_K\gamma_0)^{\frac{n}{2}}I_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}\sqrt{\mu_K\gamma_0})}{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}e^{\frac{2\mu_K\gamma_0+\mathcal{A}^2}{2}}}=1. \tag{98}$$

which after some basic algebraic manipulations becomes,

$$Q_{n-2,n-1}\left(\mathcal{A},\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)=-\frac{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}}{\mu_K}. \tag{99}$$

With the aid of the inverse Nuttall $Q-$function, it immediately follows that,

$$\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}=Q^{-1}_{n-2,n-1}\left(\sqrt{2K\mathbf{m}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{m}},-\frac{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}}{\mu_K}\right). \tag{100}$$

As a result, by solving w.r.t γ_0 one obtains (96) which completes the proof. ■

In MIMO communication scenarios over uncorrelated Rician fading channels, the random matrix $\mathbf{HH}^{\mathcal{H}}$ follows a Wishart type distribution. The corresponding PDF of a single unordered eigenvalue λ was given in [79, eq. (69)] which with the aid of $\gamma=\lambda\overline{\gamma}$ it is expressed as,

$$p_\gamma(\gamma)=\frac{K^{\omega_t}_{m,n}}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m-t}\frac{c^{(t)}_{ij}\gamma^{d+i+j-2}}{\mu_K^{1-d-i-j}e^{\mu_K\gamma}}+\sum_{j=m-t+1}^{m}\frac{c^{(t)}_{ij}\mu_K^{d+i}\gamma^{d+i-1}\,{}_0F_1(d+1;\mu_K\gamma\omega_j)}{d!e^{\mu_K\gamma}}\right] \tag{101}$$

where $d=n-m$ whereas $c^{(t)}_{ij}$ and $K^{\omega_t}_{m,n}$ are given by [79, eq. (69)] and [79, eq. (71)], respectively. Also, $\omega_{m-t+1},\ldots,\omega_m$ are t distinct eigenvalues of the non-centrality parameter of the distribution that can be represented as a column vector $\omega_t=[\omega_{m-t+1},\ldots,\omega_m]^T$. To this effect, the overall capacity of the em-ti transmission policy in MIMO systems is given by [79, eq. (47)], namely,

$$C^{m,n}_{\mathrm{em-ti}}=m\log_2\left(1+\kappa^{m,n}_{\mathrm{em-ti}}(\gamma_0)\right)\times(1-P^{\mathrm{em}}_{\mathrm{out}}) \tag{102}$$

where

$$\kappa^{m,n}_{\mathrm{em-ti}}(\gamma_0)=\frac{\mu_K/K^{\omega_t}_{m,n}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}\left[\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m-t}c^{(t)}_{ij}\Gamma(d+i+j-2,\mu_K\gamma_0)+\sum\limits_{j=m-t+1}^{m}c^{(t)}_{ij}\frac{Q_{d+2i-3,d}\left(\sqrt{2\omega_j},\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)}{2^{i-2+\frac{d}{2}}\omega_j^{d/2}e^{-\omega_t}}\right]} \tag{103}$$

and

$$P^{\mathrm{em}}_{\mathrm{out}}=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m-t}\frac{\Gamma(d+i+j-2,\mu_K\gamma_0)}{m\left[K^{\omega_t}_{m,n}c^{(t)}_{ij}\right]^{-1}}+\sum_{j=m-t+1}^{m}\frac{Q_{d+2i-3,d}\left(\sqrt{2\omega_j},\sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)e^{\omega_t}}{m\left[K^{\omega_t}_{m,n}c^{(t)}_{ij}\right]^{-1}2^{i-2+\frac{d}{2}}\omega_j^{d/2}}\right] \tag{104}$$

with the optimal cut-off γ_0 given in [79, eq. (44)] as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0 = &\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m-t} K_{m,n}^{\omega_t} c_{ij}^{(t)} \left[\Gamma(d+i+j-1, \mu_K\gamma_0) - \mu_K\gamma_0\Gamma(d+i+j-1, \mu_K\gamma_0)\right] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=m-t+1}^{m} \frac{Q_{d+2i-1,d}\left(\sqrt{2\omega_j}, \sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right) - \sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0} Q_{d+2i-2,d}\left(\sqrt{2\omega_j}, \sqrt{2\mu_K\gamma_0}\right)}{\left[K_{m,n}^{\omega_t} c_{ij}^{(t)}\right]^{-1} 2^{i-1+\frac{d}{2}} \omega_j^{d/2} e^{-\omega_j}}.\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

Evidently, the performance measures in (103)−(105) can be computed accurately and straightforwardly with the aid of the proposed expressions for the $Q_{m,n}(a,b)$ function in Sec. II.

VIII. Conclusions

New analytic formulas were derived for important special functions in wireless communication theory, namely, the Nuttall $Q-$function, the incomplete Toronto function, the Rice $Ie-$function and the incomplete Lipschitz Hankel integrals. These expressions include closed-form expressions for general and specific cases as well as upper and lower bounds, polynomial representations and approximations. Since tractable representations are particularly important in wireless communications both analytically and numerically, the proposed expressions can be useful in various applications. In this context, the offered results were indicatively employed in the derivation of novel analytic expressions for the outage probability over i.i.d. Hoyt fading channels as well as the outage probability and the truncated capacity with channel inversion in single-antenna and multi-antenna communications over Rician fading channels.

Appendix A
Proof of Theorem 1

Equation (1) can be alternatively written as,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \underbrace{e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} x^m e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} I_n(ax)dx}_{\mathcal{G}} - e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}} \int_0^{b} x^m e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} I_n(ax)dx. \tag{106}$$

By utilizing [61, eq. (8.406.3)] and [61, eq. (6.621.1)] in $\mathcal{G}$ it follows that,

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{a^n \Gamma\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}, 1+n, \frac{a^2}{2}\right)}{n! e^{\frac{a^2}{2}} 2^{\frac{n-m+1}{2}}}. \tag{107}$$

Substituting (107) in (106) and expanding the $I_n(x)$ function according to [61, eq. (8.445)] one obtains,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \mathcal{G} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{n+2l} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}}{l!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{n+2l}} \int_0^b x^{m+n+2l} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx. \tag{108}$$

Both $I_n(x)$ and $\exp(x)$ are entire functions and the limits of (108) are finite. Thus, substituting [61, eq. (1.211.1)] in (108), the resulting integral can be straightforwardly evaluated analytically yielding,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \mathcal{G} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i a^{n+2l} b^{m+2l+2i+n+1} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}}{l!i!\Gamma(n+l+1)2^{n+i+2l}(m+2l+2i+n+1)}. \tag{109}$$

Using the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$ and recalling that $a = a!/(a-1)!$ one obtains,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \mathcal{G} - \frac{a^n b^n}{2^n e^{\frac{a^2}{2}}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i a^{2l} b^{m+2l+2i+1} (m+2i+n+1)_{2l} (m+n+1)_{2i} (m+n)!}{l!i!(n+l)!2^{i+2l}(m+2i+n+2)_{2l}(m+n+2)_{2i}\Gamma(m+n+2)}. \tag{110}$$

With the aid of the identity $(2x)_{2n} = 2^{2n}(x)_n (x+1/2)_n$, it immediately follows that,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \mathcal{G} - \frac{a^n e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}}{m+n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i a^{2l} b^{m+n+2l+2i+1} \left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}+i\right)_l \left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right)_i}{l!i!(n+1)_l \left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}+1+i\right)_l \left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}+1\right)_i 2^{n+i+2l}}. \tag{111}$$

By expressing each term of the form $(a+m)_n$ as $(a+m)_n = \Gamma(a+m+n)/\Gamma(a+m) = (a)_{m+n}/(a)_m$ and performing some basic algebraic manipulations (111) can be re-written as,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) = \mathcal{G} - \frac{e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}} a^n b^{m+n+1}}{(m+n+1)n!2^n} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2}\right)_{l+i}}{(n+1)_l \left(\frac{m+n+3}{2}\right)_{l+i}} \frac{\left(\frac{a^2b^2}{4}\right)^l}{l!} \frac{\left(-\frac{b^2}{2}\right)^i}{i!}. \tag{112}$$

The above double series representation can be expressed in terms of the KdF function [66], [70]. Thus, by performing the necessary change of variables and substituting in (112), eq. (3) is deduced thus, completing the proof.

APPENDIX B
PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Tight polynomial approximations for the modified Bessel function of the first kind were derived by Gross *et al* in [71]. Based on this, by substituting [71, eq. (19)] in (1) one obtains,

$$Q_{m,n}(a,b) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(p+l)p^{1-2l}a^{n+2l}}{l!(p-l)!(n+l)!} \int_b^{\infty} \frac{x^{2l+m+n}}{2^{n+2l} e^{\frac{x^2+a^2}{2}}} dx. \tag{113}$$

By recalling that $\Gamma(x) \triangleq (x-1)!$, which holds for when $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and expressing the above integral according to [61, eq. (8.350.2)] yields (4). To this effect and for the specific case that $\frac{m+n+1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, the $\Gamma(a,x)$ function can be expressed in terms of a finite series according to [61, eq. (8.352.4)]. Therefore, by performing the necessary change of variables and substituting in (4) yields (5), which completes the proof.

APPENDIX C
PROOF OF THEOREM 2

By expressing the $I_n(x)$ function according to [61, eq. (8.467)] and substituting in (22) yields,

$$T_B(m,n,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{r^{n-m-k+\frac{1}{2}} \left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!}{k!\sqrt{\pi}\left(n-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!2^{2k}e^{r^2}} \left\{ \int_0^B \frac{(-1)^k e^{-t^2} e^{2rt}}{t^{n+k-m+\frac{1}{2}}} dt + \int_0^B \frac{(-1)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2rt}}{t^{n+k-m+\frac{1}{2}} e^{t^2}} dt \right\} \tag{114}$$

which can be equivalently expressed as follows,

$$T_B(m,n,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right)! r^{n-m-k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}k!\left(n-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!2^{2k}} \left\{ \underbrace{\int_0^B \frac{t^L e^{-(t+r)^2}}{(-1)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} t^k} dt}_{\mathcal{I}_3} + \underbrace{\int_0^B \frac{e^{-(t-r)^2}}{(-1)^k t^{k-L}} dt}_{\mathcal{I}_4} \right\} \tag{115}$$

where $L = m-n-\frac{1}{2}$. The $\mathcal{I}_3$ and $\mathcal{I}_4$ can be also expressed in terms of [70, eq. (1.3.3.18)] which yields,

$$\begin{aligned} T_B(m,n,r) = & \sum_{k=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{r^{-(2k+l)} \left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right)! (L-k)!}{\sqrt{\pi}\, k!\, l!\left(n-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!(L-k-l)!} \\ & \times \left\{ (-1)^{m-l} \underbrace{\int_0^{B+r} \frac{t^l e^{-t^2}}{2^{2k}} dt}_{\mathcal{I}_5} + (-1)^k \underbrace{\int_0^{B-r} \frac{t^l e^{-t^2}}{2^{2k}} dt}_{\mathcal{I}_6} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

The $\mathcal{I}_5$ and $\mathcal{I}_6$ integrals can be expressed in terms of the $\gamma(a,x)$ function. Hence, by making the necessary change of variables and substituting in (116) yields (24), which completes the proof.

APPENDIX D
PROOF OF LEMMA 2

By performing a change of variables and the integral limits in $T_B(m,n,r)$ and utilizing [61, eq. (8.406.3)] and [61, eq. (6.631.1)] one obtains,

$$T_B(m,n,r) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{m+1}{2}, 1+n, r^2\right)}{r^{m-2n-1}\Gamma(n+1)e^{r^2}} - \frac{2e^{-r^2}}{r^{m-n-1}} \int_B^\infty t^{m-n} e^{-t^2} I_n(2rt) dt. \tag{117}$$

By setting $u=\sqrt{2}t$ and performing long but basic algebraic representations, the above integral can be expressed in closed-form in terms of the Nuttall $Q-$function which yields (25). This completes the proof.

APPENDIX E
PROOF OF THEOREM 4

The $\exp(x)$ and $I_n(x)$ functions in (22) are entire and can be expanded since the integration interval is finite. To this end, by making the necessary variable transformation in [61, eq. (1.211.1)] and [61, eq. (8.445)], respectively, and substituting in (22) it follows that,

$$T_B(m,n,r)=2r^{n-m+1}e^{-r^2}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^i r^{n+2l}B^{m+2l+2i+1}}{l!i!\Gamma(n+l+1)(m+2l+2i+1)}. \tag{118}$$

By recalling that $(x+y)=(x+y)!/(x+y-1)!$ and $\Gamma(x+n)=(x)_n\Gamma(x)$ and inserting in (118) yields,

$$T_B(m,n,r)=\frac{2r^{n-m+1}}{e^{r^2}}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^i r^{n+2l}B^{m+2l+2i+1}(m+2i+1)_{2l}(m+1)_{2i}\Gamma(m+1)}{l!i!(n+1)_l\Gamma(n+1)(m+2i+2)_{2l}(m+2)_{2i}\Gamma(m+2)}. \tag{119}$$

With the aid of the identity $(2x)_{2n}=2^{2n}(x)_n(x+1/2)_n$ and after some basic algebraic manipulations (119) can be alternatively expressed as,

$$T_B(m,n,r)=\frac{2r^{n-m+1}}{(m+1)e^{r^2}}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^i r^{n+2l}B^{m+2l+2i+1}\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+i\right)_l\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)_i}{l!i!\Gamma(n+l+1)\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+1+i\right)_l\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+1\right)_i}. \tag{120}$$

Each term of the form $(x+i)_l$ can be equivalently expressed as $\Gamma(x+i+l)/\Gamma(x+i)=(x)_{l+i}/(x)_i$. Therefore, by substituting accordingly in (120) one obtains,

$$T_B(m,n,r)=\frac{2r^{2n-m+1}B^{m+1}}{n!(m+1)e^{r^2}}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^i r^{2l}B^{2l+2i}\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)_{l+i}}{l!i!(n+1)_l\left(\frac{m+1}{2}+1\right)_{l+i}}. \tag{121}$$

The above series can be expressed in closed-form in terms of the KdF Function in [61], [66], [70]. Therefore, by making the necessary change of variables and substituting in (121), one obtains (30), which completes the proof.

APPENDIX F
PROOF OF THEOREM 5

The $I_n(x)$ function is monotonically decreasing with respect to its order n. Therefore, for an arbitrary positive real quantity $a\in\mathbb{R}^+$, it can be claimed straightforwardly that $I_{n\pm a}(x)\lesseqgtr I_n(x)$.

By applying this identity in (39) the $Ie(k,x)$ can be upper bounded as follows,

$$Ie(k,x) < 1 - e^{-x} I_0(kx) + k \int_0^x e^{-t} I_{\frac{1}{2}}(kt) dt. \tag{122}$$

With the aid of the closed-form expression in [61, eq. (8.467)] it follows that $I_{\frac{1}{2}}(kt) = [\exp(kt) - \exp(-kt)]/\sqrt{2\pi kt}$. By substituting this in (122) one obtains,

$$Ie(k,x) < 1 - e^{-x} I_0(kx) + k \underbrace{\int_0^x e^{-t} \left[\frac{e^{kt} - e^{-kt}}{\sqrt{2\pi kt}} \right] dt}_{\mathcal{I}_8}. \tag{123}$$

The $\mathcal{I}_8$ integral can be expressed in closed-form in terms of the $\mathrm{erf}(x)$ function, namely,

$$\mathcal{I}_8 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{erf}(\sqrt{x}\sqrt{1-k})}{\sqrt{1-k}} - \frac{\mathrm{erf}(\sqrt{x}\sqrt{1+k})}{\sqrt{1+k}} \right]. \tag{124}$$

By substituting (124) in (122), equation (43) is deduced. Likewise, based on the aforementioned monotonicity property of the $I_n(x)$ function, it is easily shown that $I_{\frac{3}{2}}(x) < I_1(x)$. To this effect and by performing the necessary change of variables and substituting in (39), one obtains the following inequality,

$$Ie(k,x) > 1 - e^{-x} I_0(kx) + k \int_0^x e^{-t} I_{\frac{3}{2}}(kt) dt. \tag{125}$$

It is noted that a similar inequality can be obtained by exploiting the monotonicity properties of the Marcum $Q-$function which is strictly increasing w.r.t m. Based on this it follows that $Q_1(a,b) > Q_{0.5}(a,b)$, which upon substituting in [60, eq. (2c)] yields,

$$Ie(k,x) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}} \left[2Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) - e^{-x} I_0(kx) - 1 \right]. \tag{126}$$

Importantly, according to [44, eq. (27)]: $Q_{0.5}(a,b) = Q(b+a) + Q(b-a)$. Thus, by substituting in (126) and applying the identity $\mathrm{erf}(x) = 1 - 2Q(x\sqrt{2})$, equation (44) is deduced, which completes the proof.

APPENDIX G
PROOF OF THEOREM 6

By changing the integral limits in (37) and expressing the $I_n(x)$ function according to [61, eq. (9.238.2)] it follows that,

$$Ie(k,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}} - \int_x^\infty e^{-t(1+k)} \, {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, 2kt\right) dt \tag{127}$$

where the $\int_0^\infty \exp(-t)I_0(kt)dt$ integral was expressed in closed-form with the aid of [61, eq. (8.406.3)] and [61, eq. (6.621.1)]. By subsequently setting $u = 2kt - 2kx$ and therefore, $t = (u + 2kx)/2k$ and $du/dt = 2k$, it follows that,

$$Ie(k,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}} - \frac{e^{-(1+k)x}}{2k}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(1+k)}{2k}u}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,u+2kx\right)du. \tag{128}$$

The above integral can be expressed in terms of the confluent Appell function or Humbert function Φ_1 with the aid of [75, eq. (3.35.1.9)]. Based on this, by making the necessary variable transformation and substituting in (128) yields (45) thus, completing the proof.

APPENDIX H
PROOF OF THEOREM 7

By making the necessary change of variables in [61, eq. (8.467)] and substituting in (51) one obtains,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!2^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}k!\left(n-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)!}\left\{(-1)^k\int_0^x y^{\mathcal{P}}e^y e^{-ay}dy + (-1)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^x x^{\mathcal{P}}e^{-y}e^{ay}dy\right\} \tag{129}$$

where $\mathcal{P} = m - k + \frac{1}{2}$. Both integrals in (129) can be expressed in closed-form in terms of the $\gamma(a,x)$ function. Hence, after basic algebraic manipulations one obtains (53), which completes the proof.

APPENDIX I
PROOF OF THEOREM 8

The $I_n(x)$ based representation in (51) can be equivalently expressed as follows,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) = \int_0^\infty y^m e^{-ay}I_n(y)dy - \int_x^\infty y^m e^{-ay}I_n(y)dy. \tag{130}$$

The first integral in (130) can be expressed in closed-form according to [61, eq. (8.406.3)] and [61, eq. (6.621.1)]. To this effect and by re-writing the second integral by applying [61, eq. (9.238.2)] one obtains,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) = \frac{(m+n)!\,{}_2F_1\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2},\frac{m+n}{2}+1;1+n;\frac{1}{a^2}\right)}{2^n a^{m+n+1}n!} - \frac{1}{2^n n!}\int_x^\infty \frac{{}_2F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1+2n,2y\right)}{y^{-m-n}e^{y(1+a)}}dy. \tag{131}$$

The above integral can be expressed as,

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{y^{m+n}}{e^{y(1+a)}}\,{}_2F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1+2n,2y\right)dy=\int_0^\infty \frac{(y+x)^{m+n}\,{}_2F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1+2n,2(x+y)\right)}{e^{y(1+a)}e^{x(1+a)}}dy \tag{132}$$

By expanding the $(y+x)^{m+n}$ term according to [61, eq. (1.111)] and substituting in (131) yields,

$$\begin{aligned} Ie_{m,n}(x;a)=&\frac{(m+n)!\,{}_2F_1\left(\frac{m+n+1}{2},\frac{m+n}{2}+1;1+n;\frac{1}{a^2}\right)}{2^n a^{m+n+1}n!} \\ &-\frac{e^{-x(1+a)}}{2^n n!}\sum_{l=0}^{m+n}\binom{m+n}{l}x^{m+n-l}\int_0^\infty y^l e^{-y(1+a)}\,{}_2F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1+2n,2(x+y)\right)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

Importantly, the integral in (133) can be expressed in closed-form with the aid of [75, eq. (3.35.1.9)]. As a result (54) is deduced, which completes the proof.

APPENDIX J
PROOF OF THEOREM 9

By reversing the integral limits and applying [61, eq. (9.238.2)] it follows that,

$$Ie_{-n,n}(x;a)=\int_0^\infty \frac{{}_1F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1+2n,2y\right)}{2^n n!e^{y(1+a)}}dy-\int_x^\infty \frac{{}_1F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1+2n,2y\right)}{2^n n!e^{y(1+a)}}dy. \tag{134}$$

The first integral can be evaluated analytically with the aid of [61, eq. (7.521)]. To this effect and by setting in the second integral $u=2y+x$ and carrying out some basic algebraic manipulations one obtains,

$$Ie_{-n,n}(x;a)=\frac{{}_2F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1;1+2n;\frac{2}{1+a}\right)}{2^n n!(1+a)}-\frac{e^{-x(1+a)}}{2^{n+1}n!}\int_0^\infty \frac{{}_1F_1\left(n+\frac{1}{2},1+2n,u+2x\right)}{e^{(1+a)\frac{u}{2}}}du. \tag{135}$$

The above integral can be expressed in terms of the Humbert function Φ_1 according to [75, eq. (3.35.1.9)]. To this effect, one obtains the closed-form expression in (55).

For the special case that $m=n=0$ in (51) and setting $u=ay\Rightarrow y=u/a$ and $d/dt=a$ one obtains,

$$Ie_{0,0}(x;a)=\int_0^x e^{-ay}I_0(y)dy \tag{136}$$

which can be equivalently expressed as,

$$Ie_{0,0}(x;a)=\int_0^{ax}\frac{e^{-u}}{a}I_0\left(\frac{u}{a}\right)du. \tag{137}$$

The above integral can be expressed in closed-form according to [60, eq. (2c)]. Thus, by substituting in (137) and after basic algebraic manipulations, eq. (56) is deduced. This completes the proof.

APPENDIX K
PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6

By making the necessary variable transformation in [71, eq. (19)] and substituting in (61) one obtains,

$$Ie_{m,n}(x;a) \simeq \sum_{l=0}^{L} \int_0^x \frac{\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}y^{m+n+2l}}{l!(L-l)!(n+l)!2^{n+2l}e^{ay}}dy. \tag{138}$$

The above integral can be expressed in closed-form according to [61, eq. (3. 381.3)], namely,

$$\int_0^x y^{m+n+2l}e^{-ay}dy = \frac{\gamma(m+n+2l+1,ax)}{a^{m+n+2l+1}}. \tag{139}$$

By substituting (139) into (138) equation (61) is deduced. To this effect and as $L \to \infty$, the terms $\Gamma(L+l)L^{1-2l}/(L-l)!$ vanish and (61) becomes the exact infinite series in (62), which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] J. I. Marcum, "A statistical theory of target detection by pulsed radar: Mathematical appendix," *RAND Corp.*, Santa Monica, Research memorandum, CA, 1948.

[2] J. I. Marcum, "Table of $Q-$functions, U.S. Air Force project RAND Res. Memo. M$-$339, ASTIA document AD 116545," *RAND Corp.*, Santa Monica, CA, 1950.

[3] J. I. Marcum, "A statistical theory of target detection by pulsed radar," *IRE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-6, pp. 59$-$267, April 1960.

[4] P. Swerling, "Probability of detection for fluctuating targets," *IRE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-6, pp. 269$-$308, April 1960.

[5] J. G. Proakis, *Digital Communications*, 3rd ed. New York: McGraw - Hill, 1995.

[6] D. A. Shnidman, "The calculation of the probability of detection and the generalized Marcum $Q-$function," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 35, Issue no. 2, pp. 389$-$400, Mar. 1989.

[7] C. W. Helstrom, "Computing the generalized Marcum $Q-$function," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1422$-$1428, Jul. 1992.

[8] M. K. Simon, "A new twist on the Marcum $Q-$function and its application," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 39$-$41, Feb. 1998.

[9] A. H. Nuttall, "Some integrals involving the $Q-$function," *Naval underwater systems center*, New London Lab, New London, CT, 4297, 1972.

[10] M. K. Simon and M. S. Alouini, "Exponential$-$type bounds on the generalized Marcum $Q-$function with application to error probability over fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 359$-$366, Mar. 2000.

[11] M. K. Simon, "The Nuttall $Q-$function$-$its relation to the Marcum $Q-$function and its application in digital communication performance evaluation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 1712$-$1715, Nov. 2002.

[12] A. H. Heatley, "A short table of the Toronto functions, *Trans. Roy. Soc. (Canada)*, vol. 37, Sec. III. 1943.

[13] H. Sagon, "Numerical calculation of the incomplete Toronto function," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 54, Issue 8, pp. 1095−1095, Aug. 1966.

[14] R. A. Fisher, "The general sampling distribution of the multiple correlation coefficient," *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)*, Dec. 1928.

[15] S. O. Rice, "Statistical properties of a sine wave plus random noise," *Bell Syst. Tech.* J., 27, pp. 109−157, 1948.

[16] J. H. Roberts, *Angle Modulation*, Peregrinus, Stevenage, UK, 1977.

[17] R. F. Pawula, S. O. Rice and J. H. Roberts, "Distribution of the phase angle between two vectors perturbed by Gaussian noise," *IEEE Trans. Commun.* vol. COM-30, pp. 1828−1841, Aug. 1982.

[18] B. T. Tan, T. T. Tjhung, C. H. Teo and P. Y. Leong, "Series representations for Rice's $Ie-$function," *IEEE Trans. Commun.* vol. COM-32, no. 12, Dec. 1984.

[19] M.M. Agrest, "Bessel function expansions of incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel integrals," *USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 40−54, May 1971.

[20] M. M. Agrest and M. Z. Maksimov, *Theory of Incomplete Cylindrical Functions and Their Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

[21] A. R. Miller, "Incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel integrals of Bessel functions," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 140, pp. 476−484, 1989.

[22] S. L. Dvorak, "Applications for incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel integrals in electromagnetics," *IEEE Antennas Prop. Mag.* vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 26−32, Dec. 1994.

[23] M. K. Simon and M.-S. Alouni, *Digital Communication over Fading Channels*, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 2005.

[24] P. Loskot and N. C. Beaulieu, "Prony and polynomial approximations for evaluation of the average probability of error over slow-fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 1269−1280, Mar. 2009.

[25] X. Li and J. Cheng, "Asymptotic error rate analysis of selection combining on generalized correlated Nakagami$-m$ channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 1765−1771, July 2012.

[26] S. P. Herath, N. Rajatheva and C. Tellambura, "Energy detection of unknown signals in fading and diversity reception," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 2443−2453, Sep. 2011.

[27] J. F. Paris, "Nakagami$-q$ (Hoyt) distribution function with applications," *Electronic Lett.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 210−211, Feb. 2009.

[28] D. Morales-Jimenez and Jose F. Paris, "Outage probability analysis for $\eta-\mu$ fading channels," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 521−523, June 2010.

[29] J-C. Shen, E. Alsusa and D. K. C. So, "Performance bounds on cyclostationary feature detection over fading channels," *Proc. IEEE WCNC 2013*, pp. 1−5, Shanghai, China, 7−10 Apr. 2013.

[30] G. Cui, A. De Maio, M. Piezzo and A. Farina, "Sidelobe blanking with generalized Swerling−chi fluctuation models," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 982−1005, April 2013.

[31] G. Alfano, A. De Maio, and A. Tulino, "A theoretical framework for LMS MIMO communication systems performance analysis," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 5614−5630, Nov. 2010.

[32] D. Morales-Jimenez, J. Paris, and A. Lozano, "Outage probability analysis for MRC in $\eta-\mu$ fading channels with co-channel interference," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 674−677, May 2012.

[33] F. J. Lopez-Martinez, D. Morales-Jimenez, E. Martos-Naya, and J. F. Paris, "On the bivariate Nakagami$-m$ cumulative distribution function: closed-form expression and applications," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1404−1414, Apr. 2013.

[34] Y. Sun, A Baricz, M. Zhao, X. Xu, and S. Zhou, "Approximate average bit error probability for DQPSK over fading channels," *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 45, no. 23, pp. 1177−1179, Nov. 5, 2009.

[35] I. Trigui, A. Laourine, S. Affes, and A. Stephenne, "Performance analysis of mobile radio systems over composite fading/shadowing channels with co-located interference," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 3448−3453, July 2009.

[36] G. E. Corazza and G. Ferrari, "New bounds for the Marcum $Q-$function," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 48, pp. 3003−3008, Nov. 2002.

[37] R. Li and P. Y. Kam, "Computing and bounding the generalized Marcum $Q-$function via a geometric approach," *Proc. IEEE ISIT 2006*, pp. 1090−1094, Seattle, USA, 9−14 July 2006.

[38] P. Y. Kam and R. Li, "Generic exponential bounds and erfc-bounds on the Marcum $Q-$function via the geometric approach," *Proc. IEEE Globecom 2006*, pp. 1−5, San Francisco, CA, USA, 27 Nov. − 1 Dec., 2006.

[39] X. Zhao, D. Gong and Y. Li, "Tight geometric bound for Marcum $Q-$function," *Electron. Letters*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 340−341, Feb. 2008.

[40] P. Y. Kam and R. Li, "Computing and bounding the first-order Marcum $Q-$function: A geometric approach," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 1101−1110, July 2008.

[41] Y. Sun and S. Zhou, "Tight bounds of the generalized Marcum $Q-$function based on log-concavity," *Proc. IEEE Globecom 2008*, New Orleans, LA, pp. 1−5, 30 Nov. − 4 Dec. 2008.

[42] Y. Sun and A. Baricz, "Inequalities for the generalized Marcum $Q-$function," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 203, pp. 134−141, 2008.

[43] A. Baricz and Y. Sun, "New bounds for the generalized Marcum $Q-$function," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3091−3100, July 2009.

[44] V. M. Kapinas, S. K. Mihos and G. K. Karagiannidis, "On the monotonicity of the generalized Marcum and Nuttall $Q-$functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 3701−3710, Aug. 2009.

[45] A. Baricz, "Tight bounds for the generalized Marcum $Q-$function," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 360, pp. 265−277, 2009.

[46] G. T. F. de Abreu, "Jensen-Cotes upper and lower bounds on the Gaussian $Q-$function and related functions," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 3328−3338, Nov. 2009.

[47] R. Li, P. Y. Kam, and H. Fu, "New representations and bounds for the generalized Marcum $Q-$Function via a geometric approach, and an application," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 157−169, Jan. 2010.

[48] Y. Sun, A. Baricz and S. Zhou, "On the monotonicity, log-concavity, and tight bounds of the generalized Marcum and Nuttall $Q-$functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 1166−1186, Mar. 2010.

[49] H. Fu and P. Y. Kam, "Exponential-type bounds on the first-order Marcum $Q-$function," *Proc. IEEE Globecom 2011*, pp. 1−5, Houston, Texas, USA, 5−9 Dec. 2011.

[50] I-S. Koh and S. P. Chang, "Uniform bounds of first-order Marcum $Q-$function," *IET Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 13, pp. 1331−1337, July 2013.

[51] P. Y. Kam and R. Li, "A new geometric view of the first-order Marcum $Q-$function and some simple tight erfc−bounds," *Proc. IEEE* 63^{rd} *VTC 2006 Spring*, pp. 2553−2557, Melbourne, Australia, 7−10 May 2006.

[52] N. Ding and H. Zhang, "A flexible method to approximate Marcum $Q-$function based on geometric way of thinking," *Proc. ISCCSP 2008*, pp. 1351−1356, St. Julian's, Malta 12−14 Apr. 2008.

[53] S. Andras, A. Baricz and Y. Sin, "The generalized Marcum $Q-$function: an orthogonal polynomial approach," *Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 60−76, Jan. 2011.

[54] M. Z. Bocus, C. P. Dettmann and J. P. Coon, "An approximation of the first order Marcum Q−function with application to network connectivity analysis," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 499−502, Mar. 2013.

[55] Yu. A. Brychkov, "On some properties of the Marcum $Q-$ function," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 177−182, Mar. 2012.

[56] V. M. Kapinas, *Optimization and Performance Evaluation of Digital Wireless Communication Systems with Multiple Transmit and Receive Antennas*, Ph.D. dissertation, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece, 2014.

[57] D. Morales-Jimenez, F. J. Lopez-Martinez, E. Martos-Naya, J. F. Paris and A. Lozano, "Connections between the generalized Marcum $Q-$function and a class of hypergeometric functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 1077−1082, Feb. 2014.

[58] Q. Shi and Y. Karasawa, "An intuitive methodology for efficient evaluation of the Nuttall $Q-$Function and performance analysis of energy detection in fading channels," *IEEE Wirel. Commun. Lett.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 109−112, Apr. 2012.

[59] Yu. A. Brychkov, "On some properties of the Nuttall function $Q_{\mu,\nu}(a,b)$," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 34−43, Jan. 2014.

[60] R. F. Pawula, "Relations between the Rice $Ie-$function and the Marcum $Q-$function with applications to error rate calculations," *Elect. Lett*. vol. 31, no. 24, pp. 2078−2080, Nov. 1995.

[61] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, in 7^{th} ed. Academic, New York, 2007.

[62] J. F. Paris, E. Martos-Naya, U. Fernandez-Plazaola and J. Lopez-Fernandez "Analysis of adaptive MIMO transmit beamforming under channel prediction errors based on incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel integrals," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 2815−2824, July 2009.

[63] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical tables.*, New York: Dover, 1974.

[64] W. N. Bailey, "Appell's hypergeometric functions of two variables," *Ch. 9 in generalised hypergeometric series. Cambridge, England.* Cambridge University Press, pp. 73−83 and 99−101, 1935.

[65] V. L. Deshpande, "Expansion theorems for the Kampe de Feriet function," *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, vol. 74, pp. 39−46, 1971.

[66] H. Exton, *Handbook of hypergeometric integrals*, Mathematics and its applications, Ellis Horwood Ltd., 1978.

[67] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series. Mathematics and its Applications*, Halsted Press, Ellis Horwood Ltd. Chichester, UK: 1985.

[68] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course in Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 4th ed. Cambridge, England: 1990.

[69] H. Exton, " Transformations of certain generalized Kampe de Feriet functions II," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochasti Analysis*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 297−304, 1997.

[70] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series*, 3rd ed. New York: Gordon and Breach Science, vol. 1, Elementary Functions, 1992.

[71] L- L. Li, F. Li and F. B. Gross, "A new polynomial approximation for J_m Bessel functions," *Elsevier Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 183, pp. 1220−1225, 2006.

[72] P. C. Sofotasios, *On Special Functions and Composite Statistical Distributions and Their Applications in Digital Communications over Fading Channels*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Leeds, England, UK, 2010.

[73] P. Humbert, "Sur les fonctions hypercylindriques", *[J] C. R. 171*, ISSN 0001−4036, pp. 490−492, 1920.

[74] Yu. A. Brychkov, Nasser Saad, "Some formulas for the Appell function $F_1(a, b, b; c; w, z)$," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 793−802, Nov. 2012.

[75] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series*, Gordon and Breach Science, vol. 4, Direct Laplace Transforms, 1992.

[76] A. Goldsmith and P. Varaiya, "Capacity of fading channels with channel side information," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 1986−1992, Nov. 1997.

[77] M.-S. Alouini and A. J. Goldsmith, "Capacity of Rayleigh fading channels under different adaptive transmission and diversity -combining techniques," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1165−1181, July 1999.

[78] S. Jayaweera and H. Poor, "On the capacity of multiple-antenna systems in Rician fading," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1102−1111, May 2005.

[79] A. Maaref and S. Aissa, "Capacity of MIMO Rician fading channels with transmitter and receiver channel state information," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.* , vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1687−1698, May 2008.

[80] A. J. Goldsmith, *Wireless Communications*, 1st ed. New York, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2005.